Jürgen Eichhorn

Invariance properties of the Laplace operator

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1990. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 22. pp. [35]--47.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701460

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

INVARIANCE PROPERTIES OF THE LAPLACE OPERATOR

Jürgen Eichhorn

1. Introduction

This paper arose from some work in gauge theory on noncompact manifolds. Let (Mⁿ,g) be open complete, G a compact Lie group with Lie algebra of , P(M,G) a G-principal fibre bundle, of P = P $\stackrel{*}{\wedge}_{d}$ of , $\stackrel{*}{\mathcal{C}}_{P}$ the set of G-connections and $\stackrel{*}{\mathcal{C}}_{P}$ the gauge group. Assuming that there are already well defined Sobolev completions $\stackrel{*}{\mathcal{C}}_{P}^{k}$, $\stackrel{*}{\mathcal{C}}_{P}^{k}$ (which is a rather delicate problem but solved by ourselves), there arises the question about the structure of the configuration space $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{p}^{k}/\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p}^{k+1}$. One would like to obtain closed orbits as submanifolds of \mathcal{C}_{p}^{k} and a stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{p}^{k}/\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p}^{k+1}$ as in \mathfrak{c}_{p}^{3} . Consider the question of closed orbits as submanifolds of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_p^k$. To do this, one has to consider how for $\omega \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{P}^{k}$ the differential of the map $\Phi_{\omega}: \overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}}_{P}^{k+1} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}_{P}^{k}$, $f \longrightarrow f^{*}\omega$, acts. A necessary condition for the submanifold property of the orbits is the closedness of im $T_e \Phi_{\omega}$. There holds $T_e \Phi_{\omega} \gamma = -\nabla^{\omega} \gamma$, $\gamma \in \Omega^0(\mathfrak{F}_P)$ (=0-forms with values in \mathfrak{F}_P). But on closed manifolds im ∇^{ω} is closed since ∇^{ω} has an injective symbol. On open manifolds this is far from being true. Nevertheless, also on open manifolds it can occur that im ∇^{ω} is closed. $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{p}^{k}$ splits into in general uncountable many components, each of them being an affine space. To establish a reasonable theory, one would ask if im ∇^{ω} closed for all ω ' of a component comp(ω) in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_p^k$. This leads to questions of spectral invariance for the Laplace operator Δ^{ω} with respect to the connection, as we point out below. In fact, we prove that the closedness of im $abla^{\omega}$ is a property of the whole in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{p}^{k}$. But we show more, namely the invariance component of ω of the essential spectrum which implies the result for im ∇^{ω} .

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

This is the main result of the paper.

In §2 we recall some simple facts from Hilbert space theory. In §3 we clarify the topology in the connection space. Finally, §4 is devoted to the main results 4.9 - 4.12, which are of selfconsistent interest for the spectral theory of open manifolds.

2. Hilbert space preliminaries

Let X be a Hilbert space; $A:D_A \longrightarrow X$ a self-adjoint nonnegative unbounded operator. Then the spectrum $\mathcal{S}(A)$ splits into the purely discrete point spectrum $\mathcal{S}_{pd}(A)$ and the essential spectrum $\mathcal{S}_{pd}(A)$,

$$\zeta(A) = \zeta_{pd}(A) \circ \zeta_{e}(A), \quad \zeta_{pd}(A) \circ \zeta_{e}(A) = \emptyset.$$

The essential spectrum is characterized by the existence of Weyl sequences, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}_{e}(A) = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{E}(A) | \text{There exists a Weyl sequence for } \lambda \}$$
.

A Weyl sequence for λ is a bounded non-precompact sequence $(x_i)_i$ in D_A such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} Ax_i - \lambda x_i = 0.$$

Without loss of generality one can assume that a Weyl sequence consists of orthonormal elements .

The Hilbert space X decomposes as an orthogonal direct sum

$$X = \overline{im A} \oplus \ker A$$
.

We would like to give a spectral theoretic description for the closedness of im A. For this we need another non-disjoint decomposition of the spectrum. Set

$$\delta_{pf}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}(A) \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity}\}.$$

Then $\zeta(A) = \zeta_{pf}(A) \cup \zeta_{e}(A)$ and $\zeta_{pf}(A) \cap \zeta_{e}(A) = \text{set of}$ all eigenvalues of finite multiplicity that are embedded into the essential spectrum. We reall a simple fact from [5], p. 223. Proposition 2.1. Let A be self-adjoint, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{C}$. Then the following cases are possible.

a. im $(A - \lambda E) = X$. Then λ belongs to the resolvent set.

b. im $(A - \lambda E)$ is a proper subspaces of im $(A - \lambda E)$, im $(A - \lambda E) = X$. Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_e(A)$, $\lambda \notin \mathcal{C}_{pf}(A)$.

c. im $(A - \lambda E) = im (A - \lambda E)$, im $(A - \lambda E)$ is a proper subspace of finite codimension. Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{pf}(A)$, $\lambda \notin \mathcal{C}_e(A)$.

d. im $(A - \lambda E)$ is a proper subspace of $im (A - \lambda E)$ and $im (A - \lambda E)$ is a proper subspace of X of finite codimension. Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{pf}(A) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(A)$.

e. codim $im(A - \lambda E) = \infty$. Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_e(A)$, $\lambda \notin \mathcal{C}_{pf}(A)$.

Corollary 2.2. im A is closed if and only if $0 \notin \mathcal{C}_e(A)$ (kerA).

Proof. We denote $A|_{(kerA)}L$ by A'. Since im A = im A' the proof reduces to A' and to the Hilbert space X' = im A.

We apply 2.1 to X', A', $\lambda = 0$. If im A' is closed then we have to apply 2.1.a. and 0 belongs to the resolvent set, in particular $0 \notin \mathcal{C}_e(A')$. If $0 \notin \mathcal{C}_e(A')$, then only the cases 2.1.a. and c. are possible, in fact only the case a., i.e. in particular im A' is

Corollary 2.3. If inf $\delta_{e}(A) > 0$, then im A is closed.

3. The topology of the connection space

closed. n

We assume that O_{L} is endowed with a G-invariant positive definite scalar product (,). This is in particular in canonical manner possible if G is semisimple. (,) on O_{L} induces a fibrewise scalar product (,)_x in O_{L} and a global scalar product

$$\langle s,t \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{M}} (s,t)_x dvol_x, s,t \in C_0^{\infty}(Q_P) = \Omega_0^0(Q_P).$$

More general, let $\Omega^0(\mathbb{T}^q_r\otimes \mathcal{O}_P)$ resp. $\Omega^q(\mathcal{O}_P)$ be the space of smooth tensor fields resp. q-forms with values in \mathcal{O}_P . Then the pointwise norm $|\mathcal{O}_R\otimes \mathcal{O}_R| = |\mathcal{O}_R|_R |\mathcal{O}_R\otimes \mathcal{O}_R |_R = |\mathcal{O$

$$\langle Y, \Psi \rangle = \int (Y, \Psi)_{x} dvol,$$

Y, Ψ with compact support. If $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_p$ then ω induces a unique metric connection $\nabla^\omega : \Omega^0(\mathfrak{S}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(\mathfrak{S}_p)$, which extends by tensoring with the Levi-Civita connection ${}^g\nabla$ of M to connections in all tensor bundles ${}^q\Gamma^{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ and in $\Lambda^q\Gamma^{\mathfrak{M}}$ \mathfrak{S}_p . Let $S = S(\omega)$ be a finite set of polynomials in ∇^ω , $(\nabla^\omega)^*$ with constant coefficients. Then we set

for l≤p<∞

 ${}^{p}\Omega_{S}^{q}(\mathcal{O}_{P},\omega)=\ \left\{\mathcal{Y}\in\Omega^{q}(\mathcal{O}_{P})\ \middle|\ {}^{p}\|\mathcal{Y}\|<\infty\ ,\ {}^{p}\|\mathcal{Y}\|<\infty\ for\ all\ D\in S\ \right\}$

and ${}^p \overline{\Lambda}^{q,S}(\mathcal{G}_P,\omega)$ = completion of ${}^p \Omega_S^q(\mathcal{G}_P,\omega)$ with respect to

$$\mathsf{P}\|\mathsf{Y}\|_{\mathsf{S}} := \mathsf{P}\|\mathsf{Y}\| + \sum_{\mathsf{D} \in \mathsf{S}} \mathsf{P}\|\mathsf{D}\mathsf{Y}\| \ .$$

Here as usual $p \| y \| = (\int |y|_x^p \operatorname{dvol}_x)^{1/p}$.

Cases of particular interest are

$${}^{p}\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{q,k,1}(\mathcal{J}_{P},\omega) = {}^{p}\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{q,\{\nabla^{0},\ldots,\nabla^{k},\nabla^{*},\ldots,(\nabla^{*})^{1\}}}(\mathcal{J}_{P},\omega),$$

 ${}^{p}\overline{\Lambda}^{q,k}(\mathfrak{G}_{P},\omega) = {}^{p}\overline{\Lambda}^{q,k,0}(\mathfrak{G}_{P},\omega).$ In the same manner one defines ${}^{p}\overline{\Lambda}^{0,S}(\mathfrak{T}_{r}^{q}\otimes\mathfrak{G}_{P},\omega).$ For $\mathscr{S}\in \Omega^{q}(\mathfrak{G}_{P})$ we set

$$|\mathcal{Y}|_{S} := \sup_{x \in M} (\{|\mathcal{Y}|_{x}\} \circ \{|\mathcal{D}|_{x} | \mathcal{D} \in S\},$$

 $_{p}U_{d}^{2}(\mathcal{Q}^{b}, \omega) = \{\lambda \in U_{d}(\mathcal{Q}^{b}) \mid _{p}|\lambda|^{2} < \infty \}$

and

 ${}^{b}\overline{\Lambda}^{q,S}(\mathfrak{Q}_{P},\omega) = \text{completion of } {}^{b}\Lambda_{S}^{q}(\mathfrak{Q}_{P},\omega) \text{ with respect to } {}^{b}I_{S}.$ Analogously one defines ${}^{b}\overline{\Lambda}^{0,S}(\mathfrak{T}_{r}^{q}\otimes\mathfrak{Q}_{P},\omega).$ Finally we set

$${}^{b}, {}^{p}U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \{\boldsymbol{\omega} : \boldsymbol{\xi} \, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{P}} \, | \, \boldsymbol{\omega} : -\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{P}}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \cap {}^{p}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathbf{S}}^{1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{P}}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \text{ and }$$

$${}^{p}, {}^{b}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot -\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{S}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) := {}^{b}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot -\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{S}} + {}^{p}\boldsymbol{u} \cdot -\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{S}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{S}} \boldsymbol{\xi$$

For $S(\omega) = \{\nabla^0, \dots, \nabla^k, \nabla^*, \dots, (\nabla^*)^m\}$ we set $p, b \in S(\omega) = p, b$

comp(ω) is affine with $p, b \overline{\Omega}^{1,k,m}(Q_{p}, \omega)$ as vector space. For the proof we refer to that of 7.5 of [1]. α If m=0, we write simply $p, b \overline{C}_{p}^{k}$ and p, b U $\xi.k$.

4. The invariance of the essential spectrum

Consider $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_P$, $\omega' \in \text{comp}(\omega)_c^{p,b} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_P^k$. Then $\omega' = \omega + \eta$ and η is only $\in \mathbb{C}^k$, i.e. $\nabla \omega'$ has non-smooth coefficients. But since the coefficients are $\in \mathbb{C}^k$ the iteration $(\nabla^{\omega'})^i$, $0 \le i \le k+1$, is still well-defined and the same holds for the Sobolev spaces $p \overline{\Omega}_q^{q,s}(\mathfrak{Q}_P,\omega)$, $0 \le s \le k+1$. We wish to compare $p \overline{\Omega}_q^{q,s}(\mathfrak{Q}_P,\omega)$ and $p \overline{\Omega}_q^{q,s}(\mathfrak{Q}_P,\omega')$. For this we recall some simple equations between ∇^{ω} and $\nabla^{\omega'}$. According to §4 of [1]

$$(\nabla^{\omega'} - \nabla^{\omega}) \mathcal{Y} = [\omega - \omega, \mathcal{Y}] , \qquad (4.1)$$

$$\nabla [\mathcal{Y}, \Psi] = [\nabla \mathcal{Y}, \Psi] + [\Psi, \nabla \mathcal{Y}] , \qquad (4.2)$$

where $[\omega'-\omega \mathfrak{J}(s) = (\omega'-\omega)(\mathfrak{Z}(s)) - \mathfrak{Z}((\omega'-\omega)(s))$ and = denotes the validity of the equation up to a permutation in the tensor products.

Then (4.1),(4.2), $|s \otimes t|_x = |t| |s|_x$ imply for the pointwise norm

$$| (\nabla^{\omega'} - \nabla^{\omega}) \Upsilon| = | [\omega_i - \omega_i \Upsilon] | \le c \cdot |\omega_i| - \omega_i \cdot |\Upsilon| , \quad (4.3)$$

 $|\nabla[\xi,\zeta]| \le |[\nabla\xi,\zeta]| + |[\xi,\nabla\zeta]| \le$

$$\leq C' \cdot (|\nabla \xi| \cdot |\zeta| + |\xi| \cdot |\nabla \zeta|). \tag{4.4}$$

By means of (4.1) - (4.4) we proved in [1] Proposition 4.1. Assume $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_P$, $\omega' \in \text{comp}(\omega)_c^{p,b} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_P^k$. Then

$$p \overline{\Lambda}^{q,s}(Q_{P},\omega) = p \overline{\Lambda}^{q,s}(Q_{P},\omega)$$
 (4.5)

as equivalent Banach spaces, 0≤s≤k+1. □ We now specialize to p=2.

On compact manifolds and for a differential operator A of order with smooth coefficients and injective symbol there holds

$$^{2}\Omega^{s} = \operatorname{im} A|_{s+r} \oplus \ker A \quad (A|_{s+r} = A|_{2}\Omega^{s+r})$$
 (4.6)

as orthogonal direct sum of closed subspaces.

On open manifolds one has to replace the image by its closure in the corresponding space. If one is working with non-smooth coefficients there arise additional troubles. But in our case A = ∇^{ω} + γ , ω smooth and $\gamma \in {}^{2,b} \overline{\Lambda}^{1,k}(\gamma_{P},\omega)$ this troubles can be overcome (cf. [4,] and [3], p.34-36, and we conclude to Proposition 4.2. For $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_p$, $\omega' \in \text{comp}(\omega) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^k$

$${}^{2}\overline{\Omega}^{1,s}(g_{P},\omega) = {}^{2}\overline{\Omega}^{1,s}(g_{P},\omega') = \overline{\operatorname{im} \nabla^{\omega}|_{s+1}} \oplus \ker(\nabla^{\omega})^{*} = \overline{\operatorname{im}(\nabla^{\omega} + \gamma)|_{s+1}} \oplus \ker(\nabla^{\omega} + \gamma)^{*}, s \leq k. \quad \square$$

At this stage we do not need the assumption k > n/2, since by our assumption $\gamma \in {}^{2,b} \vec{\Lambda}^{1,k}(\gamma_p,\omega)$ γ is automatically $\in C^k$ and

To relate the closedness of im ∇ ω with spectral theory, we must still introduce the Sobolev spaces associated to the powers of Δ^{ω} and relate them to the spaces ${}^2\vec{\Omega}^{q,k}(Q_P,\omega)$. We define

$${}^{2}\Omega_{2m}^{!}(\mathcal{O}_{P}, \omega) = {}^{2}\Omega_{0}^{q}, \dots, \Delta_{s}^{m} (\mathcal{O}_{P}, \omega) = \{\mathcal{S} \in \Omega^{q}(\mathcal{O}_{P}) \mid {}^{2}\|\mathcal{S}\|_{2m} := \sum_{i=0}^{m} {}^{2}\|\Delta^{i}\mathcal{S}\| < \infty \}$$

 ${}^{2}\overline{\bigcap}^{,q},{}^{2m}(\mathcal{O}_{P},\omega) = \text{completion of } {}^{2}\bigcap_{2m}(\mathcal{O}_{P},\omega) \text{ with respect}}$ ${}^{to} {}^{2}\mathbb{I}_{2m}. \text{ In particular, } {}^{D}\overline{\triangle}\omega = {}^{2}\overline{\bigcap}^{,q},{}^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{P},\omega), \text{ the closure of }}$

We consider the following two conditions (B_V(M)) for the metric g and $(B_k(\mathcal{O}_p))$ for the connection ω .

(B_k(M)). The curvature of M is bounded up to order k, i.e. there exist constants C; such that

$$|(^{g}\nabla)^{i}R^{M}| \leq c_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

 $(B_k(Q_P))$. The curvature of Q_P is bounded up to order k, i.e. there exist constants D, , such that

$$|(\nabla^{\omega})^{i_{R}}| \leq D_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq k,$$

where R^M resp. R^{ω} denotes the curvature (Mⁿ,g) resp. of $(\gamma_P, \nabla^{\omega})$. [2] we use Proposition 4.3. if (M^n, g) and $(O_{(1)} P, \omega)$ satisfy the conditions $(B_{2m}(M))$ and $(B_{2m}(O_{\lambda P}))$ then

$${}^{2}\vec{\Lambda}^{q,2m+2}(\gamma_{P},\omega)={}^{2}\vec{\Lambda}^{,q,2m+2}(\gamma_{P},\omega).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_{P,b,r}$ c \mathcal{C}_{P} the subset of all connections satisfying $(B_r(Q_P))$ and $\nabla_{P,b,r}$ c $\nabla_{P,b,r}$ c $\nabla_{P,b,r}$ the corresponding completion.

Proposition 4.4. If (M^n,g) satisfies $(B_r(M))$, $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{P,b,r}$ and $r \neq k$, then $comp(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$ belongs to $p,b \notin \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$, i.e. with $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{P,b,r}$ belongs its whole component in $p,b \notin \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$ to $p,b \notin \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$. In particular, $p,b \notin \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$, is open in $p,b \notin \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$.

Proof. According to 3.2 there remains to show that $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{P,b,r}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_P^{k}$ implies

$$|(\nabla^{\omega+\eta})^{i}R^{\omega+\eta}| \leq c_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq r.$$
 (4.7)

This follows immediately from

$$R^{\omega+\eta} = R^{\omega} + d^{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma, \eta],$$

iterated covariant differentiation, the assumption $\gamma \in {}^b \overline{\Lambda}^{1,k}(\gamma_P, \omega)$ and $(B_{r-1}(M))$. We remark that $\nabla^\omega \gamma$ bounded implies $d^\omega \gamma$ bounded. The assumption $(B_r(M))$ is needed since in $(\nabla^{\omega + \gamma_l})^i$ appear the (i-1)-th ps tial derivatives of the Christoffel symbols which are bounded since we have $(B_r(M))$, which implies in normal coordinates the boundedness of all partial derivatives of the Γ_{ij}^k of order ℓ r-1. σ Corollary 4.5. If M satisfies $(B_{2m}(M))$, $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{P,b,\ell}$ and $\omega \in \mathrm{comp}(\omega)$ then there holds for $s \in \mathrm{m}$

$${}^{2}\bar{\Lambda}^{0,2s}(Q_{P},\omega') = \overline{\operatorname{im}\Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)}} \oplus \ker\Delta^{\omega'} . \qquad (4.8)$$

Proof. This equation holds for the spaces ${}^2\overline{\Omega}^{0,2s}(O_{\mathbb{P}},\omega)$. For ${}^2\overline{\Omega}^{0,2s}(O_{\mathbb{P}},\omega')$ it follows from 4.3,4.4.m

Lemma 4.6. Under the assumption 4.5 im $(\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$ is closed if and only if $\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)}$ is closed.

Proof. Clearly, $\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)} \subseteq \operatorname{im} (\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{im} (\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$ is orthogonal to $\ker \nabla^{\omega'}|_{2s+1}$ with respect to the L_2 -scalar product, and $\ker \Delta^{\omega'} = \ker \nabla^{\omega'}$. Since by 4.5 ${}^2\overline{\Omega}^{0,2s}(O_{\mathbb{P}},\omega') = \operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)} \oplus \ker \Delta^{\omega'}$, $\ker \Delta^{\omega'} = \ker(\Delta^{\omega'})^*$ and $\operatorname{im}(\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$ orthogonal to $\ker (\Delta^{\omega'})^*$, we obtain

$$_{im} (\nabla^{\omega})^*|_{2s+1} \subseteq \overline{\lim_{\infty} \Delta^{\omega}|_{2(s+1)}}.$$

```
\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)} \subseteq \operatorname{im}(\nabla^{\omega'})^{*}|_{2s+1} \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)}}. (4.9)
```

From these inclusions we conclude that the closedness of $\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)}$ implies the closedness of $\operatorname{im} (\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$. There remains to prove the inverse implication. Assume $\operatorname{im} (\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$ being closed and $\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)}$ not. According to (4.9), then there exists a $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{im} (\nabla^{\omega'})^*|_{2s+1}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2(s+1)}$. Then $\mathcal{L} = (\nabla^{\omega'})^* \mathcal{L} = (\nabla^{\omega'})^* \mathcal$

<u>Proposition 4.7.</u> Under the assumptions of 4.5 the following assertions a. and b. are equivalent.

a. im Δ^{ω} , l_2 is closed.

b. im $\Delta^{\omega'}$ is closed, i=2,4,...,2(s+1).

Proof. Clearly, b. implies a.. Assume im $\Delta^{\omega'}|_2$ being closed and $Y \in \operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2j}$, $j \le s+1$. Then there exists a sequence $Y \vee E^2 \cap O, 2^j (O_{\mathbb{P}}, \omega')$, $Y \vee E (\ker \Delta^{\omega'})$ such that $\Delta Y \vee \longrightarrow Y$ in $2 \cap O, 2^j (O_{\mathbb{P}}, \omega')$. Since $\operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2j} \le \operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_2 = \operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_2$ and $\Delta^{\omega'}$ is one to one outside $\ker \Delta^{\omega'}$ there exists a unique $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(O_{\mathbb{P}}, \omega')$ such that $\Delta^{\omega'} Y = Y$. It follows $\Delta Y \vee \longrightarrow \Delta Y$ in $2 \cap O, 2^j (J-1)$, $\Delta Y \in \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ of $\mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ i.e. $Y \in \operatorname{im} \Delta^{\omega'}|_{2J} = \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ being closed and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ such that $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ being closed and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ and $\Delta^{\omega'} \vee \mathbb{C}^{0,2}(J-1)$ being closed and

Corollary 4.8. Under the hypothesises 4.5 the following assertions are equivalent.

a. im $\Delta^{\omega'}|_2 = \text{im } \Delta^{\overline{\omega'}}$ is closed.

b. im Δ^{ω} , is closed, i=2,4,...,2(s+1).

c. im $(\nabla^{\omega^{1}})^*$ is closed, i=1,3,...,2s+1.

d. im ∇^{ω} , is closed, i=1,3,...,2s+1.

e. im $T_e \varphi_{\omega}$ is closed in ${}^2\bar{\Lambda}^{1,i}(Q_P,\omega')$, i=1,3,...,2s+1.m According to 2.2 im $\bar{\Lambda}^{\omega'}$ is closed if and only if

 $0 \notin \langle e^{(\Delta^{\omega'})}|_{(\ker \Delta^{\omega'})^{\perp}}).$

Now we put the main question of our paper. Suppose $(B_{2m}(M))$, $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{P,b,2m}$ and im $\nabla^{\omega} \subset \overline{\Omega}^{1,2m+1}(Q_{P,\omega})$ being closed. Does the same hold for all $\omega \in \text{comp}(\omega)$ $\mathbb{C}_{P,b,2m}^{k}$, $\mathbb{C}_{P,b,2m}^{k}$, $\mathbb{C}_{P,b,2m}^{k}$, $\mathbb{C}_{P,b,2m}^{k}$, $\mathbb{C}_{P,b,2m}^{k}$. Equivalent question. Suppose (M^{n},g) and ω as above and

 $0 \notin \mathcal{C}_{e}(\overline{\Delta^{\omega}}|_{(\ker \overline{\Delta^{\omega}})^{\perp}})$. Does the same hold for all $\omega \in comp(\omega)$? The affirmative answer follows from the following more general result.

Theorem 4.9. Assume $(B_0(\underline{M})), \omega \in \mathcal{L}_{P,b,0}$ and $\omega \in \text{comp}(\omega) \subset c^{2,b} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{P,b,0}^2$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{e}(\overline{\Delta^{\omega}}) = \mathcal{L}_{e}(\overline{\Delta^{\omega}})$, where the closure of Δ is taken in ${}^2\overline{\Omega}{}^0(\mathfrak{S}_P)$. Proof. We write $\Delta^{\omega} = \Delta$, $\Delta^{\omega'} = \Delta'$. $\omega' \in \text{comp}(\omega)$ implies

$$\Delta = \nabla^{*}\nabla = [\omega' - \omega,]^{*} \circ [\omega' - \omega,] + [\omega' - \omega,] \circ \nabla + (4.10)$$

$$+ \nabla^{*}[\omega' - \omega,] + \nabla^{*}\nabla = \nabla^{*}\nabla + \mathcal{K},$$
i.e. for $\mathcal{Y} \in {}^{2}\Omega^{0}_{[\Delta]}(\mathcal{Y}_{P}, \omega)$

$$\Delta' \mathcal{I} = \nabla'^* \nabla' \mathcal{I}_{\pi} [\omega' - \omega, [\omega' - \omega, \mathcal{I}]_{c} + [\omega' - \omega, \nabla \mathcal{I}]_{c} + (4.11)$$

$$+ \nabla^* ([\omega' - \omega, \mathcal{I}]) + \Delta \mathcal{I},$$

where [ω '- ω , ψ] as usual followed by con-

$$[\omega' - \omega, [\omega' - \omega, \Upsilon]]_{c} \leq C_{1} \cdot |\omega' - \omega|^{2} |\Upsilon| , \qquad (4.12)$$

$$[[\omega'-\omega, \nabla \Upsilon]_c] \leq c_2 \cdot |\omega'-\omega| \cdot |\nabla \Upsilon| \qquad (4.13)$$

The estimation of $\nabla^*[\omega'-\omega, \Upsilon]$ amounts to the estimation of the g^kl, ∇ (ω '- ω), ω '- ω , ∇ % . Since we assumed (B_O(M)) the g^{kl} in normal coordinates are bounded and there exists a constant C' such that

$$|\nabla^*[\omega_1 - \omega, \Im]| \leq c_3 (|\nabla (\omega_1 - \omega)| \cdot |\Im| + |\omega_1 - \omega| \cdot |\nabla I|)$$
(4.14)

where we used a version of (5.3) of [1]). Furthermore, there exists a constant C_A^{\bullet} such that

$$\{\omega' - \omega \mid , | \nabla (\omega' - \omega)| \leq c_4'.$$
 (4.15)

(4.12) - (4.14) are used now to estimate $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{Y}$. Let be $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_e(\Delta^{\omega})$. We have to show $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_e(\Delta^{\omega})$) and proceed as follows. If $(u_i)_i$ is a Weyl sequence for λ , then we construct starting from $(u_i)_i$ a Weyl sequence $(\Upsilon_{\nu})_{\nu}$ such that

$$\|\Delta^{\omega'} f_{\nu} - \lambda f_{\nu}\| \xrightarrow{\nu \to \infty} 0.$$

There exists a compact submanifold \mathbf{K}_1^n such that

$$\|\omega - \omega\|_{M \setminus K_1}$$
, $\|(\omega - \omega)\|_{M \setminus K_1} < 1/4^1$,

where $\| \psi \|_{M \setminus K_1} = (M \int_{K_1} | \psi |^2 dvol)^{1/2}$. Let $(u_i)_i$ be an orthonormal Weyl sequence for $\lambda \in C_e(\Delta^w)$,

$$\|\Delta^{\omega}u_{i} - \lambda u_{i}\| \xrightarrow[i \to \infty]{} 0,$$

 K_1 c U_1 c U_1 , \overline{U}_1 compact, $\Phi = \Phi_1 \in C_0^{\infty}(U_1)$ such that $\Phi = 1$ on U_1 . Then $(\Phi u_1) \in {}^2 \overline{\Lambda}^{0,2}(\Phi_P|_{U_1}, \omega)$ and by the Rellich lemma $(\Phi u_1)_i$ contains a convergent subsequence in ${}^2 \overline{\Lambda}^{0,1}(\Phi_P|_{U_1}, \omega)$ which we again denote by $(\Phi u_1)_i$. Now we consider $v_1 = u_{2i+1} - u_{2i}$. Then $((1-\Phi)v_1)_i$ is a Weyl sequence: $((1-\Phi)v_1)_i$ is bounded, non-precompact and

$$\Delta(1-\phi)v_{i} - \lambda(1-\phi)v_{i} = \Delta v_{i} - \lambda v_{i} - \Delta(\phi v_{i}) - \lambda(\phi v_{i}) \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0$$

since $\Delta v_i - \lambda v_i \longrightarrow 0$ by assumption and $\Delta (\varphi v_i) - \lambda (\varphi v_i) \longrightarrow 0$ by construction of the subsequence $((\Delta (\varphi u_{2i+1})_i, \Delta (\varphi u_{2i})_i)$ have the same limit, $(\varphi u_{2i+1})_i, (\varphi u_{2i})_i$ have the same limit). $\Delta v_i - \lambda v_i \longrightarrow 0$ implies $\|\nabla v_i\| \longrightarrow \|2\lambda\|$ since by completeness of $(M^n,g) \langle \Delta v_i, v_i \rangle - \lambda \langle v_i, v_i \rangle = \|\nabla v_i\|^2 - \lambda \|v_i\|^2 = \|\nabla v_i\|^2 - 2\lambda \longrightarrow 0$. Moreover, we get from $\|v_i\| = \|2\}$, $\|\varphi v_i\|$, $\|\nabla (\varphi v_i)\| \longrightarrow 0$, that for all sufficiently high indices

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|\nabla^{(1-\Phi)v_{i}}\|}{\|(1-\Phi)v_{i}\|} &\leq \frac{\|\nabla^{v_{i}}\|}{\|(1-\Phi)v_{i}\|} + \frac{\|\nabla^{(\Phi}v_{i})\|}{\|(1-\Phi)v_{i}\|} \leq \frac{(12+1/20)1\%}{\|(1-\Phi)v_{i}\|} \\ &+ \frac{\|(\Phi v_{i})\|}{\|(1-\Phi)v_{i}\|} \leq 1\% (2+1/20) + \sqrt{3}/20 = (12+1/10)1\% \end{split} \tag{4.16}$$

if $\lambda > 0$ and the left hand side of (4.16) $\leq 1/10$ if $\lambda = 0$. We start with the first index such that for all higher indices (4.16) is valid and denote $(u_i^{(1)})_i = ((1-\varphi)v_i/(1-\varphi)v_i)_i$, in particular $\|u_i^{(1)}\| = 1$ and $\|\nabla u_i^{(1)}\| \leq \sqrt{\chi}\sqrt{2} + 1/10$) resp. $\leq 1/10$. Then we obtain from (4.12) - (4.16) using Schwarz inequality

$$(\int |\mathbf{u} - \omega, \mathbf{u} - \omega, \mathbf{u}_{1}^{(1)}] |\mathbf{u} - \omega|^{2} dvol^{1/2} \le c_{1} (\int_{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}}^{c_{1}} (\mathbf{u} - \omega, \mathbf{u}_{1}^{(1)})^{1/2} \le c_{1} / 4^{1},$$

$$(4.17)$$

$$(\int |[\omega - \omega, \nabla u_1^{(1)}]_c |^2 dvol)^{1/2} \le c_2 (\int_{M \setminus K_1} |\omega - \omega|^2 dvol)^{1/2}$$
. (4.18)

•
$$(\int_{\mathbb{M}_{\sim} K_{1}} |\nabla u_{1}^{(1)}|^{2} dvol)^{1/2} \le c_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} i \sqrt{\chi} (\sqrt{2} + 1/10) \text{ resp. } \le \frac{c_{2}^{1}}{4^{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{10}.$$

$$(\int |\nabla^* [\omega - \omega, u_i^{(1)}]|^2 dvol)^{1/2} \leq \frac{c_3}{4^1} (1 + N_{\lambda}(\sqrt{2} + 1/10)),$$

$$resp. \leq \frac{c_3}{4^1} \cdot \frac{11}{10}$$
(4.19)

(4.17) - (4.19) imply

$$\|\Delta' u_{i}^{(1)} - \lambda u_{i}^{(1)}\| \le \|\Delta u_{i}^{(1)} - \lambda u_{i}^{(1)}\| + \| \mathcal{K} u_{i}^{(1)}\|$$
(4.20)

with

$$\|\Delta u_{i}^{(1)} - \lambda u_{i}^{(1)}\| \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0 \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\| \mathcal{K} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}^{(1)} \| \leq \frac{\mathbf{c}}{4^{1}}. \tag{4.22}$$

There exists a compact submanifold K_2 > K_1 such that $\|\omega - \omega\|_{M \times K_2}$, $\|\nabla(\omega - \omega)\|_{M \times K_2} < 1/4^2$. Let $K_2 < U_2' < U_2$,

 \overline{U}_2 compact and $\Phi = \Phi_2$ such that $\Phi \in C_0^{\infty}(U_2)$, $\Phi = 1$ on U_1^* . Now proceed with $\Phi = \Phi_2$, K_2 , $(u_1^{(1)})_i$ as with Φ_1, K_1 , $(u_1)_i$, getting by this procedure a sequence $(u_1^{(2)})_i$, $\|u_1^{(2)}\| = 1$, non-precompact and satisfying

$$\|\Delta u_{i}^{(2)} - \lambda u_{i}^{(2)}\|_{i} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow \infty} 0$$
 (4.23)

and

$$\|\chi_{u_{i}^{(2)}}\| \leq \frac{c}{4^{2}}.$$
 (4.24)

Iterating this procedure, we obtain for each j a sequence $(u_i^{(j)})_i$, $\|u_i^{(j)}\| = 1$, non -precompact and satisfying

$$\|\Delta u_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{j})} - \lambda u_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\mathbf{j})}\|_{\mathbf{i}} \longrightarrow 0$$
 (4.25)

$$\|\mathcal{K}u_{i}^{(j)}\| \leftarrow \frac{c}{4^{j}}. \tag{4.26}$$

Set $\xi_j = \frac{1}{j}$. Then there exists an i_j such that

$$\|\Delta u_{i}^{(j)} - \lambda u_{i}^{(j)}\| < \frac{1}{j}$$

for all $i \ge i_j$. Finally we set $\mathcal{Y}_j = u_i^{(j)}$. Then $\|\mathcal{Y}_j\| = 1$, $(\mathcal{Y}_j)_j$ is non-precompact (since $(u_i)_i$ is non-precompact) and

$$\|\Delta^{\omega}Y_{j} - \lambda Y_{j}\| \leq \|\Delta^{\omega}Y_{j} - \lambda Y_{j}\| + \frac{c}{4^{j}} \xrightarrow{j \longrightarrow \infty} 0,$$

i.e. $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{e}(\Delta^{\omega})$, $\mathcal{C}_{e}(\Delta^{\omega}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{e}(\Delta^{\omega})$. Exchanging ω , ω and

repeating verbatim gives $\zeta_{e}(\Delta^{\omega'}) \subseteq \zeta_{e}(\Delta^{\omega}) \cdot \mathbf{n}$ Corollary 4.10. Assume $(B_{0}(M))$, $vol(M) = \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{P,b,0}$ and $\omega' \in comp(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z}_{P,b,0}^{2}$. Then

$$\zeta_{e}(\overline{\Delta^{\omega}}|_{(\ker \Delta^{\omega})^{\perp}}) = \zeta_{e}(\overline{\Delta^{\omega}}|_{(\ker \Delta^{\omega})^{\perp}}).$$

In particular, im Δ^{ω} is closed if and only if im $\Delta^{\omega'}$ is closed. Proof. $vol(M) = \infty$ implies $\ker \Delta^{\omega} = \ker \Delta^{\omega'} = \{0\}(\Delta = \nabla^*\nabla)$ implies that L_2 -harmonic sections of $\Omega(\mathcal{O}_P)$ are parallel, i.e. L_2 -harmonic vol L_2 -harmonic sections of L_2 -

<u>Remark.</u> If we replace the assumption $vol(M) = \infty$ by $r_{inj}(M) > 0$, then 4.10 remains still valid since $(B_0(M))$ and $r_{inj}(M) > 0$ imply $vol(M) = \infty$.

Corollary 4.11. Assume (M^n,g) being open, complete, satisfying $(B_{2m}(M))$, $vol(M) = \infty$, $\omega \in {}^2$, by $(B_{2m}(M))$, $vol(M) = \infty$, $\omega \in {}^2$, by $(B_{2m}(M))$, and $\omega \in (B_{2m}(\omega))$ of $(B_{2m}(M))$, $(B_{2$

REFERENCES

[1] EICHHORN J. "The invariance of Sobolev spaces over non-compact manifolds", to appear in Proc. Conf. on Partial Diff. Equ., Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Leipzig 1989.

- [2] EICHHORN J. "Elliptic differential operators on noncompact manifolds". Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik 106 (1988).4-169.
- [3] KONDRACKI W., ROGULSKI J."On the stratification of the orbit space for the action of automorphisms on connections", Dissertationes Mathematicae CCL (1986),5-62.
- [4] ROGULSKI J. "Operators with H^k coefficients and generalized Hodge-de Rham decompositions", Demonstratio Mathematica vol. XVIII no. 1 (1985), 77-89.
- [5] TRIEBEL H. "Höhere Analysis", Berlin 1972.
- [6] YOSIDA K. "Functional Analysis", Berlin 1965.

JÜRGEN EICHHORN
ERNST-MORITZ-ARNDT-UNIVERSITÄT
SEKTION MATHEMATIK
FRIEDRICH-LUDWIG-JAHN-STRAßE 15 A
DDR-2200 GREIFSWALD