# A. K. Kwaśniewski; E. Grądzka More on deformed oscillator algebras and extended umbral calculus

In: Jarolím Bureš (ed.): Proceedings of the 22nd Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 2003. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 71. pp. [143]--150.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701713

# Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## MORE ON DEFORMED OSCILLATOR ALGEBRAS AND EXTENDED UMBRAL CALCULUS

## A.K. KWAŚNIEWSKI, E. GRĄDZKA

ABSTRACT.  $\psi(q)$ -calculus is an almost unavoidable extension of finite operator calculus of Rota [1]. Main results of Rotas' finite operator calculus might be quite easily given their  $\psi$ -extensions. The specific  $\psi_n(q) = [n_q!]^{-1}$  case is known to be relevant for quantum groups investigation [2]–[5]. In general  $\psi(q)$ -calculus is as a matter of fact Ward's "..calculus of sequences" [6] in Rotas' finite operator calculus form [7]. This we owe to Viskov and other distinguished authors (see for example [8]–[13]). Here we show that such  $\psi(q)$ -umbral calculus leads to infinitely many new  $\psi$ -deformed "quantum-like" oscillator algebras representations. Among others one may formulate q-extended finite operator calculus with help of the "quantum q-plane" q-commuting variables  $A, B : AB - qBA \equiv [A, B]_q = 0$  as done in [11], [12]. This presentation is mostly an editorial actualization and enrichment of [14] based on [15] (see also [1]) and is intended to be further extension of last years talks given at Srní.

## 1. Few basic notions of $\psi(q)$ -extended umbral calculus

 $\psi(q)$ -extended umbral calculus is arrived at [8], [9] by considering not only polynomial sequences of binomial type but also of  $\{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ -binomial type where  $\{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ -binomial coefficients are defined with help of the generalized factorial  $n_S! =$  $s_1s_2s_3\cdots s_n$ ;  $S = \{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is an arbitrary sequence with the condition  $s_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \in N$ . Then the extension relies on the notion of  $\partial_{\psi}$ -shift invariance of  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operators. Here the linear operator  $\partial_{\psi}$  acting on the algebra of polynomials denotes the  $\psi$ derivative i.e.  $\partial_{\psi}x^n = n_{\psi}x^{n-1}$ ;  $n \geq 0$  and  $n_{\psi}$  denotes the  $\psi$ -deformed number (see also [6] and [13]) where in conformity with Viskov notation we put

$$\begin{split} & n_{\psi} \equiv \psi_{n-1} \left( q \right) \psi_{n}^{-1} \left( q \right) \text{ hence } \left( 0_{\psi} ! = 1 \right) \\ & n_{\psi} ! \equiv \psi_{n}^{-1} \left( q \right) \equiv n_{\psi} \left( n - 1 \right)_{\psi} \left( n - 2 \right)_{\psi} \left( n - 3 \right)_{\psi} \cdots 2_{\psi} 1_{\psi} \text{ and} \\ & n_{\psi}^{\underline{k}} = n_{\psi} \left( n - 1 \right)_{\psi} \cdots \left( n - k + 1 \right)_{\psi}. \end{split}$$

We choose to work with  $\mathfrak{T}$ — the family of functions sequences such that:  $\mathfrak{T} = \{\psi : R \supset [a,b]; q \in [a,b]; \psi(q) : Z \rightarrow F; \psi_0(q) = 1; \psi_n(q) \neq 0; \psi_{-n}(q) = 0; n \in N\}$ . With the choice  $\psi_n(q) = [R(q^n)!]^{-1}$  and  $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$  we get the well known

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A40, 81S99.

Key words and phrases. Extended umbral calculus, deformed quantum oscillators.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted elsewhere.

q-factorial  $n_q! = n_q (n-1)_q!$ ;  $1_q! = 0_q! = 1$  while the  $\psi$ -derivative  $\partial_{\psi}$  becomes now the Jackson's derivative (see [16])

$$\partial_q:\left(\partial_qarphi
ight)(x)=rac{arphi\left(x
ight)-arphi\left(qx
ight)}{\left(1-q
ight)x}\,.$$

A polynomial sequence  $\{p_n\}_0^\infty$  is called to be of  $\psi$ -binomial type if it satisfies the recurrence

$$E^{y}(\partial_{\psi}) p_{n}(x) \equiv p_{n}(x + \psi y) \equiv \sum_{k \ge 0} {n \choose k} \psi p_{k}(x) p_{n-k}(y); \text{ where } {n \choose k} \psi \equiv \frac{n_{\psi}^{2}}{k_{\psi}!}$$

 $E^{y}(\partial_{\psi}) \equiv \exp_{\psi}\{y\partial_{\psi}\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{k}\partial_{\psi}^{k}}{n_{\psi}!}$  denotes a generalized translation operator [6] and

 $\partial_{\psi}$ -shift invariance is defined accordingly. The algebra  $\sum_{\psi}$  is the algebra of all *F*-linear  $\partial_{\psi}$ -shift invariant operators *T* acting on the algebra *P* of polynomials. We assume that char F = 0 for any field *F* chosen. In another words

 $\forall \alpha \in F \quad [T, E^{\alpha}(\partial_{\psi})] = 0; \text{ char } F = 0.$ 

One then introduces the notion of  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator according to Definition 1.1.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $Q(\partial_{\psi}): P \to P$ ; the linear operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  is a  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator iff

(1)  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  is  $\partial_{\psi}$ -shift invariant;

(2)  $Q(\partial_{\psi})(\mathrm{id}) = const \neq 0.$ 

As in unextended case [7] — one may construct [1] the bijective correspondence between  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operators with their  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequences.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $Q(\partial_{\psi}): P \to P$  be the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator. A polynomial sequence  $\{p_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ ; deg  $p_n = n$  such that:

(1)  $p_o(x) = 1;$ 

- (2)  $p_n(0) = 0; n > 0;$
- (3)  $Q(\partial_{\psi}) p_n = n_{\psi} p_{n-1}$  is called the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequence of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ .

Now using the fact that  $\forall Q(\partial_{\psi}) \exists !$  invertible  $S_{\partial_{\psi}} \in \Sigma_{\psi}$  such that  $Q(\partial_{\psi}) = \partial_{\psi}S_{\partial_{\psi}}$  one may prove (analogously to special cases [7], [12]) the crucial Theorem 1.1 (see [1], [10]).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequence of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ :

$$Q(\partial_{\psi}) = \partial_{\psi} S_{\partial_{\psi}}. \text{ Then for } n > 0:$$
(1)  $p_n(x) = Q(\partial_{\psi})' S_{\partial_{\psi}}^{-n-1} x^n;$ 
(2)  $p_n(x) = S_{\partial_{\psi}}^{-n} x^n - \frac{n_{\psi}}{n} (S_{\partial_{\psi}}^{-n})' x^{n-1};$ 
(3)  $p_n(x) = \frac{n_{\psi}}{n} \hat{x}_{\psi} S_{\partial_{\psi}}^{-n} x^{n-1};$ 
(4)  $p_n(x) = \frac{n_{\psi}}{n} \hat{x}_{\psi} (Q(\partial_{\psi})')^{-1} p_{n-1}(x).$ 

In order to prove this one uses the properties of the Pincherle  $\psi$ -derivative.

**Definition 1.3.** The Pincherle  $\psi$ -derivative i.e. the linear map ':  $\Sigma_{\psi} \to \Sigma_{\psi}$ ;

$$T' = T \hat{x}_{\psi} - \hat{x}_{\psi}T \equiv [T, \hat{x}_{\psi}]$$

where the linear map  $\hat{x}_{\psi}: P \to P$ ; is defined in the basis  $\{x^n\}_{n>0}$  as follows

$$\hat{x}_{\psi}x^{n} = \frac{\psi_{n+1}(q)(n+1)}{\psi_{n}(q)}x^{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)}{(n+1)_{\psi}}x^{n+1}; \quad n \ge 0.$$

One may also define Sheffer  $\partial_{\psi}$ -polynomials which constitute the more general class of polynomial sequences than the class of  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequences.

**Definition 1.4.** A polynomial sequence  $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is called the sequence of Sheffer  $\partial_{\psi}$ -polynomials of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  iff

- (1)  $s_0(x) = c \neq 0;$
- (2)  $Q(\partial_{\psi}) s_n(x) = n_{\psi} s_{n-1}(x).$

The following proposition relates Sheffer  $\partial_{\psi}$ -polynomials of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  to the unique  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequence of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ :

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  be a  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator with  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequence  $\{q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Then  $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of Sheffer q-polynomials of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  iff there exists a  $\partial_{\psi}$ -shift invariant operator  $S_{\partial_{\psi}}$  such that  $s_n(x) = S_{\partial_{\psi}}^{-1} q_n(x)$ .

**Examples:** According to Proposition 1.1 with  $Q(\partial_q) = \partial_q$  and  $S = \exp_{\psi}\{\frac{1}{2}\alpha\partial_q^2\}$  we get q-Hermite polynomials while with choice  $Q(\partial_q) = \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_{q-1}}$  and  $S = (1 - \partial_q)^{-\alpha - 1}$  we obtain q-Laguerre polynomials  $L_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(x)$  of order  $\alpha$ .  $\psi$ -extensions include of course q-Hermite, q-Laguerre polynomials  $L_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(x)$  of order  $\alpha$  with their  $\psi$ -correspondents.

These are already well known q-Sheffer polynomials [17], [11], [12]. Specifically q-Laguerre polynomials  $L_{n,q}^{(-1)}(x) \equiv L_{n,q}(x)$  form the  $\partial_q$ -basic polynomial sequence  $\{L_{n,q}(x)\}_{n\geq 0}$  of the  $\partial_q$  operator  $Q(\partial_q) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial_q^{k+1} \equiv \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_{q-1}} \equiv -[\partial_q + \partial_q^2 + \partial_q^3 + \partial_q^4 + \partial_q^5 + \cdots]$ . Using then Theorem 1.1 one arrives at the explicit form of  $L_{n,q}(x)$ . Namely:

$$L_{n,q}(x) = \frac{n_q}{n} \hat{x}_q [\frac{1}{\partial_q - 1}]^{-n} x^{n-1} = \frac{n_q}{n} \hat{x}_q (\partial_q - 1)^n x^{n-1} =$$
  
=  $\frac{n_q}{n} \hat{x}_q \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_q \partial_q^{n-k} x^{n-1} = \frac{n_q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_q (n-1) \frac{n-k}{k} \frac{k}{k_q} x^k =$   
=  $\frac{n_q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \frac{n_q!}{k_q!} \frac{(n-1) \frac{n-k}{q}}{(n-k)_q!} \frac{k}{k_q} x^k.$ 

So finally

(1.1) 
$$L_{n,q}(x) = \frac{n_q}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \frac{n_q!}{k_q!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q \frac{k}{k_q} x^k.$$

Note:  $\psi$ -extended case is covered in this example just by replacement  $q \rightarrow \psi$ .

With the choice  $\psi_n(q) = [R(q^n)!]^{-1}$  we arrive at interesting *R*-Laguerre polynomials. Let us also stress here again that *q*-deformed quantum oscillator algebra provides a natural setting for *q*-Laguerre polynomials and *q*-Hermite polynomials [18], [19], [20].  $sl_q(2)$  and the q-oscillator algebra give rise to basic geometric functions as matrix elements of certain operators in analogy with Lie theory [18], [19]. Also automorphisms of the q-oscillator algebra lead to Sheffer q-polynomials for example to q-generalization of the Charlier polynomials [18], [19].

## 2. Extended umbral calculus and $\psi$ -deformed "quantum oscillator" algebras

 $\partial_q$ -delta operators and their duals and similarly  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operators with their duals provide us with pairs of generators of  $\psi$ -deformed quantum oscillator-like algebras (see Remark 2.2). Namely as we shall see:  $\left[Q\left(\partial_{\psi}\right), \hat{x}_{Q\left(\partial_{\psi}\right)}\right]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = \mathrm{id}$ . With the choice  $\psi_n(q) = [R(q^n)!]^{-1}$  and  $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$  we get the well known q-deformed oscillator dual pair of operators — generators of the well known q-Heisenberg-Weyl algebra. These oscillator-like algebras generators and q-oscillator-like algebras generators are encountered explicitly or implicitly in [2], [3] and in many other subsequent references — see [26], [5] and references therein. In many such references [18], [19] q-Laguerre and q-Hermite or q-Charlier polynomials appear which are just either Sheffer  $\psi$ -polynomials or just  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequences of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operators  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  for  $\psi_n(q) =$  $\frac{1}{R(q^n)!}; R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q} \text{ and corresponding choice of } Q(\partial_{\psi}) \text{ functions of } \partial_{\psi} \text{ (for example } Q = \text{id}). \text{ The case } \psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(q^n)!}; n_{\psi} = n_R; \partial_{\psi} = \partial_R \text{ and } n_{\psi(q)} = n_{R(q)} = R(q^n)$ appears implicitly in [21] where advanced theory of general quantum coherent states is being developed. However there is no mention of  $R(q^n)$ -umbral calculus in [21] neither in "q-references" quoted in this note. In the q-case it was noticed among others also in [22] that commutation relations for the q-oscillator-like algebras generators from [2, 3] and others (see [5]) might be chosen in appropriate operator variables to be of the form [22]:

(2.1) 
$$AA^+ - \mu A^+ A = 1; \quad \mu = q^2$$

As for the Fock space representation of normalized eigenstates  $|n\rangle$  of excitation number operator N various q-deformations of the natural number n are used in literature on quantum groups and at least some families of quantum groups may be constructed from q-analogues of Heisenberg algebra [2], [3], [22], [4]. Our q-oscillator algebras generators are just the  $\partial_q$ -delta operators  $Q(\partial_q)$  and their duals i.e. basic objects of the q-extended finite operator calculus of Rota. (An elementary example:  $\partial_q \hat{x} - q \hat{x} \partial_q = \text{id.}$ )

Here in below we shall propose a  $\psi$ -extension of the q-oscillator model algebra using basic concepts of Viskov's  $\psi$ -extension of calculus of Rota.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\{p_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be the  $\partial_q$ -basic polynomial sequence of the  $\partial_q$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_q)$ . A linear map  $\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_q)}: P \to P$ ;  $\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_q)}p_n = p_{n+1}$ ;  $n \geq 0$  is called the operator dual to  $Q(\partial_q)$ .

For Q = id we have :  $\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_q)} \equiv \hat{x}_{\partial_q} \equiv \hat{x}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\{p_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic polynomial sequence of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi}) = Q$ . Then the  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -operator is a liner map;

$$\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}: P \to P; \quad \hat{q}_{\psi,Q}p_n = \frac{(n+1)_{\psi}-1}{n_{\psi}}p_n; \quad n \ge 0.$$

We call the  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$  operator the  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -mutator operator.

Note: For  $Q = \operatorname{id} \hat{Q}(\partial_{\psi}) = \partial_{\psi}$  the natural notation is  $\hat{q}_{\psi,\mathrm{id}} \equiv \hat{q}_{\psi}$ . For  $Q = \operatorname{id}$  and  $\psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(q^n)!}$  and  $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$   $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q} \equiv \hat{q}_{R,\mathrm{id}} \equiv \hat{q}_R \equiv \hat{q}_{q,\mathrm{id}} \equiv \hat{q}_q \equiv \hat{q}$  and  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q} x^n = q x^n$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let A and B be linear operators acting on P; A:  $P \rightarrow P$ ; B:  $P \rightarrow P$ . Then  $AB - \hat{q}_{\psi,Q}BA \equiv [A, B]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}}$  is called  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -mutator of A and B operators.

Note:  $Q(\partial_{\psi}) \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} - \hat{q}_{\psi,Q} \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} Q(\partial_{\psi}) \equiv \left[ Q(\partial_{\psi}), \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} \right]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = \mathrm{id}.$ 

This is easily verified in the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -basic  $\{p_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  of the  $\partial_{\psi}$ -delta operator  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ .

Equipped with pair of operators  $(Q(\partial_{\psi}), \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})})$  and  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -mutator we have at our disposal all possible representants of "canonical pairs" of differential operators on the P algebra. For historical reasons let us however at first quote a suitable remark [1].

Remark 2.1. The  $\psi$ -derivative is a particular example of a linear operator that reduces by one the degree of any polynomial. In 1901 it was proved [23] by Pincherle and Amaldi that every linear operator T mapping P into P may be represented as infinite series in operators  $\hat{x}$  and D. In 1986 Kurbanov and Maximov [24] supplied the explicit expression for such series in most general case of polynomials in one variable; namely according to Proposition 1 from [24] one has: "Let D be a linear operator that reduces by one each polynomial. Let  $\{q_n(\hat{x})\}_{n\geq 0}$  be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials in the operator  $\hat{x}$ . Then  $T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n(\hat{x})D^n$  defines a linear operator that maps polynomials

als into polynomials. Conversely, if T is linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials then there exists a unique expansion of the form

$$T=\sum_{n\geq 0}q_n\left(\hat{x}\right)\mathcal{D}^{n"}.$$

Note: In 1996 this was extended to algebra of many variables polynomials [25].

**Remark 2.2.** The importance of the pair of dual operators:  $Q(\partial_{\psi})$  and  $\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}$  is reflected by the facts:

a) 
$$Q(\partial_{\psi}) \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} - \hat{q}_{\psi,Q} \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} Q(\partial_{\psi}) \equiv \left[Q(\partial_{\psi}), \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}\right]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = \mathrm{id}$$

b) Let  $\{q_n\left(\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}\right)\}_{n\geq 0}$  be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials in the operator  $\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}$ . Then  $T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n\left(\hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}\right)Q\left(\partial_{\psi}\right)^n$  defines a linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials. Conversely, if T is linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials then there exists a unique expansion of the form

(2.2) 
$$T = \sum_{n \ge 0} q_n \left( \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} \right) Q \left( \partial_{\psi} \right)^n$$

Equipped with pair of operators  $(Q(\partial_{\psi}), \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})})$  and  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -mutator we have at our disposal all possible representants of "canonical pairs" of differential operators on the P algebra such that:

a) the above unique expansion  $T = \sum_{n \ge 0} q_n \left( \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})} \right) Q \left( \partial_{\psi} \right)^n$  holds

b) we have the structure of  $\psi$ -umbral or  $\psi$ -extended finite operator calculus - coworking.

#### 3. Looking for $\psi$ -analogue of quantum q-plane formulation

Cigler and Kirschenhofer defined in [11, 12] the polynomial sequence  $\{p_n\}_o^{\infty}$  of qbinomial type equivalently by

(3.1) 
$$p_n(A+B) \equiv \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{n}{k} p_k(A) p_{n-k}(B)$$
 where  $[B,A]_q \equiv BA - qAB = 0.$ 

A and B might be interpreted then as coordinates on quantum q-plane. For example  $A = \hat{x}$  and  $B = y\hat{Q}$  where  $\hat{Q}\varphi(x) = \varphi(qx)$ . With this being adopted the following identification holds:

$$p_{n}(x+qy) \equiv E^{y}(\partial_{q}) p_{n}(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} {\binom{n}{k}}_{q} p_{k}(x) p_{n-k}(y) = p_{n}\left(\hat{x}+y\hat{Q}\right) \mathbf{1}$$

Also q-Sheffer polynomials  $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are defined equivalently (see 2.1.1. Kirschenhofer in [12]) by

(3.2) 
$$s_n (A+B) \equiv \sum_{k \ge 0} \binom{n}{k}_q s_k (A) p_{n-k}(B)$$

where  $[B, A]_q \equiv BA - qAB = 0$  and  $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of q-binomial type. For example  $A = \hat{x}$  and  $B = y\hat{Q}$  where  $\hat{Q}\varphi(x) = \varphi(qx)$ . Then the following identification takes place:

(3.3) 
$$s_n(x+qy) \equiv E^y(\partial_q) s_n(x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{n}{k} s_k(x) p_{n-k}(y) = s_n(\hat{x}+y\hat{Q}) \mathbf{1}$$

This means that one may formulate q-extended finite operator calculus with help of the "quantum q-plane" q-commuting variables A, B:  $AB - qBA \equiv [A, B]_q = 0.$ 

Let us now try to formulate — perhaps in vain — the basic notions of  $\psi$ -extended finite operator calculus with help of the "quantum  $\psi$ -plane"  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -commuting variables  $A, B: [A, B]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = 0$  exactly in the same way as it was done by Cigler and Kirschenhofer in [11], [12].

For that to do let us consider appropriate generalization of  $A = \hat{x}$  and  $B = y\hat{Q}$ where this time the action of  $\hat{Q}$  on  $\{x^n\}_0^\infty$  is to be found from the condition

$$AB - \hat{q}_{\psi}BA \equiv [A, B]_{\hat{q}_{\psi}} = 0.$$

Acting with  $[A, B]_{\hat{q}_{\psi}}$  on  $\{x^n\}_0^{\infty}$  one easily sees that due to  $\hat{q}_{\psi}x^n = \frac{(n+1)_{\psi}-1}{n_{\psi}}x^n$ ;  $n \ge 0$ ,  $\hat{Q}x^n = b_n x^n$  where  $b_0 = 0$  and  $b_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(k+1)_{\psi}-1}{k_{\psi}}$  for n > 0 is the solution of the difference equation:  $b_n - b_{n-1}\frac{(n+1)_{\psi}-1}{n_{\psi}} = 0$ ; n > 0. With all above taken into account one immediately verifies that for our A and B $\hat{q}_{\psi}$ -commuting variables already

(3.4) 
$$(A+B)^n \neq \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{\psi} A^k B^{n-k}$$

unless  $\psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(q^n)!}$ ;  $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$  hence  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q} \equiv \hat{q}_{R,\mathrm{id}} \equiv \hat{q}_R \equiv \hat{q}_{q,\mathrm{id}} \equiv \hat{q}_q \equiv \hat{q}$  and  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}x^n = q^nx^n$  i.e. unless we are back to the *q*-case.

In conclusion one sees that the above identifications of polynomial sequence  $\{p_n\}_o^\infty$  of q-binomial type and Sheffer q-polynomials  $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  fail to be extended to the more general  $\psi$ -case. This means that we cannot formulate that way the  $\psi$ -extended finite operator calculus with help of the "quantum  $\psi$ -plane"  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -commuting variables  $A, B: AB - \hat{q}_{\psi,Q}BA \equiv [A, B]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = 0$  while considering algebra of polynomials P over the field F.

Nevertheless — already the q-case is already reach enough in abundant applications to various "q-quantum mechanical models" —  $q \equiv \omega \equiv \exp\left\{\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right\}$  case included. One may expect the natural use of q-umbral calculus in these applications to be advantageous. Models using  $\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}$ -mutator  $\left[Q\left(\partial_{\psi}\right), \hat{x}_{Q\left(\partial_{\psi}\right)}\right]_{\hat{q}_{R,Q}} = \text{id relations are suitable}$ play-ground for  $\psi$ -umbral calculus (leading perhaps to  $\psi$ -lasers? — see the q-footnote in [2, p. 1887]).

For the most general cases and for further links to further readings the reader is referred to [27] and [28].

For very recent and qualitatively new applications of q-umbral and  $\psi(q)$ -calculus one is referred to [29], [30], [31] and [32]. There — due to the invention of a specific \* $\psi$  product of formal series — new families of  $\psi(q)$ -extensions of Poisson processes and q-Bernoulli-Taylor formula with the rest q-term of the Cauchy type are derived among others.

#### References

- [1] Kwaśniewski, A.K., Rep. Math. Phys. 47, 305 (2001).
- [2] Biedenharn, L.C., J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22, L873 (1989).
- [3] Macfarlane, A., J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22, 4581 (1989).
- [4] Haruo Ui and Aizawa, N., Modern Physics Letters 5, 237 (1990).
- [5] Jorgensen, P.E.T., Pacific Journal of Math. 165, 131 (1994).
- [6] Ward, M., Amer. J. Math. 58, 255 (1936).
- [7] Rota, G.-C., Finite Operator Calculus, Academic Press, New York 1975.
- [8] Viskov, O.V., Soviet Math. Dokl. 16, 1521 (1975).
- [9] Viskov, O.V., Soviet Math. Dokl. 19, 250 (1978).
- [10] Markowsky, G., J. Math. Anal. Appl. 63, 145 (1978).
- [11] Cigler, J., Indag. Math. 40, 27 (1978).
- [12] Kirschenhofer, P., Sitzunber. Abt. II Oster. Ackad. Wiss. Math. Naturw. Kl. 188, 263 (1979).
- [13] Roman, S.M., The Umbral Calculus, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [14] Kwaśniewski, A.K., On deformed oscillator algebras and umbral calculus, Białystok Univ. Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/Preprint#20/October/2000
- [15] Kwsśniewski, A.K., Integral Transforms and Special Functions 2, 333 2001
- [16] Jackson, F.H., Amer. J. Math. 32, 305 (1910).
- [17] Hahn, W., Math. Nachr. 2, 4 (1949).
- [18] Floreanini, R. and Vinet, L., Annals of Physics 221, 53 (1993).

149

- [19] Floreanini, R. and Vinet, L., Physics Letters A 180, 393 (1993).
- [20] Burban, I.M. and Klimyk, A.U., Letters in Math. Phys. 29, 13 (1993).
- [21] Odzijewicz, A., Commun. Math. Phys. 192, 183 (1998).
- [22] Chaichian, M. and Kulish, P., Physics Letters B 234, 72 (1990).
- [23] Pincherle, S. and Amaldi, U., Le operazioni distributive e le loro applicazioni all'analisi, N. Zanichelli, Bologna, 1901.
- [24] Kurbanov, S.G. and Maximov, V.M., Dokl. Akad. Nauk Uz. SSSR 4, 8 (1986).
- [25] Di Bucchianico, A. and D.Loeb, D., Integral Transforms and Special Functions 4, 49 (1996).
- [26] Kwaśniewski, A.K., Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras 8, 417 (1998).
- [27] Di Bucchianico, A. and Loeb, D., J. Math. Anal. 92, 1 (1994).
- [28] Loeb, D.E., LaBRI URA CNRS 1304 (1999); http://www.tug.org/applications/tex4ht/tugmml/a14x.htm
- [29] Kwaśniewski, A.K., Extended finite operator calculus an example of algebraization of analysis, Białystok Univ. Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/Preprint#28/April/2001.
- [30] Kwaśniewski, A.K., Krot, E. and Kornacki, P., q-difference calculus Bernoulli-Taylor formula, Białystok Univ. Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/Preprint#40/February/2002.
- [31] Krot, E., ψ-difference calculus Bernoulli-Taylor formula, Białystok Univ. Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/Preprint#39/February/2002.
- [32] Kwaśniewski, A.K., On Simple Characterisation of Sheffer ψ-polynomials and Related Proposition of the Calculus of Sequences, to appear in JMAA.

Institute of Computer Science Białystok University PL-15-887 Białystok ul.Sosnowa 64 Poland