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# $C_{4}$-Saturated Graphs of Minimum Size 
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We consider simple undirected graphs, with bo loops or multiple edges. Standard terminology of graph theory is used; undefined notions can be found e.g. in [1].

Let $F$ be a given graph. Call a graph $G F$-saturated if $F$ is not a subgraph of $G$, but a subgraph isomorphic to $F$ appears whenever a new edge is added to $G$. Denoting by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the set of vertices and edges, respectively, of $G$, define

$$
\text { sat }(n, F)=\min \{|E(G)|:|V(G)|=n, G \text { is } F \text {-saturated }\},
$$

the minimum number of edges in an $F$-saturated graph on $n$ vertices. Now the problem is to determine sat $(n, F)$ for given $F$ and $n$ (possibly when $n$ is large), and to describe the graphs $G$ with $n$ vertices and sat $(n, F)$ edges, that are $F$-saturated. Note that for $n<|V(F)|$ the complete graph is the unique $F$-saturated one.

The first result of this type was published in 1964 (Erdös, Hajnal and Moon [2]), but it took two decades until the first general upper bound on sat $(n, F)$ appeared (Kászonyi and Tuza [3]). A survey of results is given in [5], where also hypergraphs and weakened conditions are discussed.

It is surprising how difficult the determination of sat $(n, F)$ is even in case of very small $F$. For instance, denoting by $C_{k}$ the cycle on $k$ vertices, the value of sat $\left(n, C_{5}\right)$ is not known. Perhaps it is $3 n / 2+0(1)$ as $n$ tends to infinity. For $C_{4}$, Ollman [4] proved the following result.

Theorem 1. For $n \geqq 5$, sat $\left(n, C_{4}\right)=[(3 n-5) / 2]$. Moreover, if $G$ is a $C_{4}-$ saturated graph with $n$ vertices and $[(3 n-5) / 2]$ edges, then $G$ has some of the structures shown in Fig. 1; namely, if $n$ is even, then $G$ has a 'central' triangle, each of whose vertices are adjacent to precisely one vertex of degree one, and the remaining vertices of $G$ are in adjacent pairs, each of them joined to a vertex of the central triangle; if $n$ is odd, then $G$ either is obtained from the previous construction by deleting one vertex of degree one, or consists of a $C_{5}$, two consecutive vertices of which are joined to arbitrary numbers of adjacent pairs.

[^0]The original proof of Theorem 1 in [6] is about 20 typewritten pages long. In the present note we give a shorter argument which still is not a very simple one. Perhaps the difficulty is related with the fact that in case of $n$ odd we have two entirely different types of extremal structures.


Figure 1. $C_{4}-$ saturated graphs with $[(3 n-5) / 2]$ edges.
(a); $n$ even; (b) and (c): $n$ odd.

Before restricting our investigations to $C_{4}$-saturated graphs, let us formulate some simple properties that hold under more general assumptions. Recall that a graph is said to be $k$-vertex-connected ( $k$-edge-connected) if it cannot be made disconnected by the deletion of less than $k$ vertices (edges), i.e. deleting fewer vertices (edges) we always find paths between any two vertices. For $k=0$ we have no restriction, and in case of $k=1$ we simply say that the graph is connected. For a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G, G \backslash G^{\prime}$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V(G) \backslash V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proposition 2. (a) If $F$ is $k$-vertex-connected, other than the complete graph on $k$ vertices, then every $F$-saturated $G$ is $(k-1)$-vertex-connected.
(b) If $F$ is $k$-edge-connected, then every $F$-saturated $G$ is $(k-1)$-edge-connected.

Proof. Let $G$ be an $F$-saturated graph. To prove (a), suppose to the contrary that a set $X$ of at most $k-2$ vertices disconnects $G$. Assuming that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are the vertex sets of two components of $G \backslash X$, add an edge $\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ to $G, x_{i} \in V_{i}(i=1,2)$. Then a subgraph $F$ must appear. Without loss of generality we can assume that this $F$ has at least two vertices outside $X \backslash V_{1}$. In this case, however, $X \cup\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ would be a cut-set of cardinality at most $k-1$ in $F$, a contradiction.

To prove (b), suppose that there are at most $k-2$ edges between the vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}, V_{1} \cup V_{2}=V(G)$. Since $F$ and hence $G$ as well has at least $k$ vertices, there are $x_{i} \in V_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that $\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ is not an edge of $G$. Adding $\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ to $E(G), F$ has to occur as a subgraph. In this $F$, however, its two parts contained in $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, respectively, would be separated by at most $k-1$ edges, a contradiction.

For saturated graphs whose connectivity is as small as possible, we have the following.

Proposition 3. (a) Let $F$ be a $k$-vertex-connected graph, and let $G$ be an $F$-saturated graph with a set $X$ of $k-1$ vertices such that $G \backslash X$ is disconnected. Denote by $G_{1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, G_{t}$ the connected components of $G \backslash X$. If any two vertices of $X$ are adjacent, then (a1) $G \backslash G_{i}$ is $F$-saturated for $1 \leqq i \leqq t$;
(a2) $G_{i} \cup X$ induces an $F$-saturated graph $(1 \leqq i \leqq t)$.
(b) Let $F$ be a $k$-edge-connected graph, and suppose that a graph $G$ has a partition $V_{1} \cup V_{2}=V(G)$ such that there are just $k-1$ edges between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. If $G$ is $F$-saturated, then the subgraph induced by $V_{i}(i=1,2)$ is $F$-saturated, too.

Proof. If a new edge is contained in $X \cup V\left(G_{i}\right)$ or in $V\left(G_{i}\right) \cup V\left(G_{j}\right)$, then the subgraph isomorphic to $F$ must appear in $X \cup V\left(G_{i}\right)$ or in $V\left(G_{i}\right) \cup V\left(G_{j}\right) \cup X$, respectively, otherwise $X$ would be a cut-set of $F$. This proves (a). Similarly, if a new edge is contained in $V_{i}$, then $F$ cannot have a vertex in $V_{3-i}$, otherwise the deletion of the $k-1$ edges joining $V_{1}$ with $V_{2}$ would disconnect $F$. This proves (b).

In particular, if $F$ is connected and $G$ is an $F$-saturated graph, then every connected component of $G$ is $F$-saturated. Another important case is when $F$ is 2 -vertexconnected (2-connected, for short). Define a block of a graph as a 2-connected subgraph maximal under inclusion.

Corollary 4. Let $F$ be a 2-connected graph. If $G$ is an $F$-saturated graph, then every block of $G$ is $F$-saturated.

Proof. Apply induction on the number $b$ of blocks. For $b=1$ we have nothing to prove. Moreover, we can assume that $G$ is connected, by putting $X=\emptyset$ in Theorem 3(a2). Then, if $G$ is not 2-connected, it contains a vertex $x$ such that $G_{i} \cup\{x\}$ induces a block of $G$, for some connected component $G_{i}$ of $G$. By Theorem 3, $G_{i} \cup\{x\}$ is $F$-saturated, as well as $G \backslash G_{i}$. Since every block other than $G_{i} \cup\{x\}$ is a block of $\boldsymbol{G} \backslash \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, too, the statement follows by induction since $\boldsymbol{G} \backslash \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ has fewer blocks than $\boldsymbol{G}$.

The distance between two vertices $x$ and $y$ of a connected graph is the number of edges in the shortest $x-y$ path. The diameter of $G$ is the largest distance between any two vertices $x, y \in V(G)$.

Proposition 5. Let $F$ be a 2-connected graph having no cycle of more than $s$ vertices. If $G$ is an $F$-saturated graph, then $G$ has diameter at most $s-1$.

Proof. Deleting any edge from $F$, the graph obtained has diameter at most $s-1$ (otherwise the deleted edge would be contained in a cycle longer than $s$ ). Adding an edge ( $x y$ ) to $G$, a subgraph isomorphic to $F$ and containing $(x y)$ occurs, so that the distance of $x$ and $y$ cannot be larger in $G$ than in $F-\{(x y)\}$.

Let us summarize the consequences of the above observations for $C_{4}$-free graphs.
Lemma. Let $G$ be a $C_{4}$-saturated graph. Then
(6.1) $G$ is connected,
(6.2) $G$ has diameter at most 3.

Moreover, if $G$ contains a cut-vertex $x$, and $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$ are the connected components of $G \backslash\{x\}$, then
(6.3) every $G_{i} \cup\{x\}$ induces a $C_{4}$-saturated graph,
(6.4) $G \backslash G_{i}$ is $C_{4}$-saturated for $1 \leqq i \leqq t$,
(6.5) all vertices not adjacent to $x$ belong to the same component $G_{i}$,
(6.6) all the other $G_{j}$ are disjoint edges that form triangles with $x$, with possibly one exception which is a single vertex adjacent to $x$.

Proof of Theorem 1. One can see that the graphs shown in Fig. 1 are $C_{4}$-saturated, independently of the number of triangles attached to the vertices indicated by squares (of course the number may be zero as well). Thus, for every $n \geqq 5$, sat $\left(n, C_{4}\right) \leqq$ $\leqq[(3 n-5) / 2]$.

To prove the lower bound, let $G$ be a $C_{4}$-saturated graph with a minimum number of edges on $n$ vertices. Putting $f(n)=[(3 n-5) / 2]$, for $n=5$ and 6 we have $f(n)=$ $=n$. In these cases, if $G$ had less than $f(n)$ edges, then either $G$ would be disconnected (which is impossible by (6.1)), or it would be a tree. In the latter case, however, it would contain two non-adjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ such that either the common neighbour of $x$ and $y$ has degree 2 , or $x$ and $y$ both have degree 1 and they are adjacent to the same vertex. Anyway, adding ( $x y$ ) to $G$ we obtain a triangle but not a $C_{4}$, contradicing the assumption that $G$ is $C_{4}$-saturated. Hence, the statement is true for $n=5,6$.
I. Suppose first that $G$ has a cut-vertex $x$. By $(6,6)$, the connected components $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$ of $G \backslash x$ are isolated edges, with possibly two exceptions. If, say, $G_{1}$ is an edge then deleting $G_{1}$ from $G$ we obtain a graph of $n-2$ vertices and $f(n)-3=$ $=f(n-2)$ edges. Now (6.4) implies that the theorem follows by induction.

Thus, we may suppose that $G_{1}=y$ is a vertex of degree 1 , adjacent to $x$, and $G_{2} \cup x=G \backslash y$ is a $C_{4}$-saturated graph. Then, by (6.2), $G_{2}$ consists of two levels $A$ and $B$ : the neighbours of $x$ (denoted by $A$ ) and the vertices not adjacent to $x$ but adjacent to some vertex of $A$.

As any edge ( $y a$ ), $a \in A$, produces a $C_{4}$, the vertex set $A$ induces a 1-regular graph in $G$, that is, $|A|$ is even and $A \cup\{x\}$ contains exactly $\frac{3}{2}|A|$ edges of $G$.

Since $G$ is $C_{4}$-free, every $b \in B$ is adjacent to exactly one $a \in A$. Moreover, if there are two vertices $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ of degree 1 , then their neighbours are adjacent in $A$. Consequently, there are at most two vertices in $B$ with degree 1 . Therefore, by $|A|+|B|=n-2$,

$$
f(n) \geqq \frac{3}{2}|A|+|B|+\left[\frac{|B|-1}{2}\right]+1 \geqq\left[\frac{3|A|+3|B|+1}{2}\right]=\left[\frac{3 n-5}{2}\right] .
$$

By (6.4)-(6.6), it is easy to check that equality holds only for the graphs (a) and (b) in Fig. 1. (Observe that in case of equality $G$ has at least two vertices of degree 1, the other vertices in $B$ have to induce a 1-regular graph and each of them must have degree 2.)
II. Thus, it is enough to show that $C_{5}$ is the unique 2-connected and $C_{4}$-saturated graph of at most $f(n)$ edges. From now on, suppose that $G$ is 2 -connected. We distinguish between three cases, according to the behaviour of vertices of degree 2 .
A) There are two adjacent vertices $x, x^{\prime}$ of degree 2 (see Fig. 2).


Fig. 2.
If $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are the neighbours of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$, resp., then they are not adjacent and they have exactly one common neighbour $z$. Denote by $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ the sets of their other neighbours. The remaining vertices are adjacent to $z$ (call their set $Z$ ) or they have neighbours in $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ because the distance of any vertex from $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ is at most 3.

If $v \in Y,(v x)$ gives a $C_{4}$, therefore $v$ is adjacent to some vertex in $Y \cup\{z\}$. A similar property holds for $Y^{\prime}$. Moreover, since $z$ is not a cut-vertex, there are at least $|Z|$ edges incident to $Z$ in the subgraph induced by $U \cup Z$. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(n) \geqq 5+|Y|+\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\left[\frac{|Y|+1}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+1}{2}\right]+2(|U|+|Z|) \geqq \\
\geqq \frac{3}{2}\left(|Y|+\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+|U|+|Z|\right)+5+\frac{1}{2}(|U|+|Z|) \geqq \frac{3}{2}(n-5)+5=f(n)
\end{gathered}
$$

with equality only if $U=Z=\emptyset,|Y|$ and $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$ even, and so (by 2-connectivity) $Y=$ $=Y^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $G=C_{5}$ as stated.
B) There is a vertex $x$ of degree 2, with neighbours $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ such that $y$ is not adjacent to $y^{\prime}$.

Denote by $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ the set of neighbours of $y$ and $y^{\prime}$, resp., in $G \backslash x$. (Now $Y \cap Y^{\prime}=$ = Ø.) If $v \in Y \cup Y^{\prime}$ then $(v x)$ gives a $C_{4}$, therefore $Y \cup Y^{\prime}$ contains at least $\left[\frac{1}{2}(|Y|+\right.$ $\left.\left.+\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+1\right)\right]$ edges. The other vertices, forming a set called $Z$ are adjacent to $Y \cup Y^{\prime}$ (by (6.2)) and have degree $\geqq 2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(n) \geqq 2+|Y|+\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+\left[\frac{|Y|+\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+1}{2}\right]+|Z|+\left[\frac{|Z|+1}{2}\right] \geqq \\
\geqq \frac{3}{2}\left(|Y|+\left|Y^{\prime}\right|+|Z|\right)+2=\frac{3}{2}(n-3)+2=f(n)
\end{gathered}
$$

with equality only if $Z$, as well as $Y \cup Y^{\prime}$, induces a 1-regular subgraph in $G$. But in this case there are two adjacent vertices of degree 2 in $Z$ (if $Z \neq \emptyset)$ or in $Y \cup Y^{\prime}$ (if $Z=\emptyset$ ) and we are back to case A).
C) Every vertex of degree 2 is contained by a triangle.

Let $G^{\prime}$ be the subgraph induced by the vertices of degree $\geqq 3$ in $G$. Call an edge of $G^{\prime}$ red if it forms a triangle with some vertex of degree 2 in $G$, and call the other edges of $G^{\prime}$ blue.

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ be the partition of the vertices of $G^{\prime}$ in which the $X_{i}$ 's induce the connected components in the graph of red edges. (That is, if a vertex $v^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ is contained by no red edge then $v^{\prime}$ itself is a one-element class in the partition. Such a vertex has degree $\geqq 3$ in $G^{\prime}$, too.) With the help of the $X_{i}$ 's we define a partition of $V(G)$ as follows:

$$
V_{i}=X_{i} \cup\left\{v \in V(G) \backslash V\left(G^{\prime}\right): \text { the red edge belonging to } v \text { lies in } X_{i}\right\} .
$$

Clearly, every red edge of $X_{i}$ is incident to exactly one triangle (meeting $V_{i} \backslash X_{i}$ ). Since $f(n)<3 n / 2$, there is a $V_{i}$ such that, in $G$, the average degree of the vertices belonging to $V_{i}$ is less than 3. If there are $t$ red edges in $X_{i}(t \geqq 1)$, then $\left|V_{i}\right|=$ $=\left|X_{i}\right|+t \leqq 2 t+1$ and $V_{i}$ contains $\geqq 3 t$ edges (the red edges define edge-disjoint triangles of $G$ ). Thus, $\left|X_{i}\right|=t+1$, and the red edges form a spanning tree $T$ of $X_{i}$. Moreover, $V_{i} \neq V(G)$, because $f\left(\left|V_{i}\right|\right)=f(2 t+1)=3 t-1<3 t$.


Fig. 3.

By 2-connectivity, there are at least two edges between $V_{i}$ and $V(G) \backslash V_{i}$, so that $X_{i}$ cannot contain any blue edges. Each endpoint $v \in T$ has degree $\geqq 3$ in $G$, hence there is a blue edge $e_{v}$ from $v$ to $V(G) \backslash V_{i}$. Thus, $V_{i}$ contains at most $(6 t+2-j) / 2 \leqq$ $\leqq 3 t$ edges, where $j$ is the number of endpoints of $T$. Since $j \geqq 2$, equality must hold, i.e., $T$ is a path with endpoints $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.

Denote by $y_{k} \in V(G) \backslash V_{i}$ the endpoint of the edge $e_{k}$ incident to $v_{k}, k=1,2$. Clearly, $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$ ( $G$ is 2-connected) and the path $T$ consists of at most three vertices (by (6.2)). Therefore, if $\left|X_{i}\right|>2, G$ should be one of the graphs of Fig. 3 (bold lines indicate $T$ ), each having more than $f(n)$ edges. Thus, $T$ is an edge.

Let $Y_{k}$ denote the set of vertices being outside $T$ and adjacent to $y_{k}, k=1,2$. Since $V_{i} \cup Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \cup\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=V(G)$, and each vertex of $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ has degree $\geqq 2$,

$$
|E(G)|=f(n) \geqq 5+\left|Y_{1}\right|+\left|Y_{2}\right|+\left|Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}\right| / 2 \geqq 5+3(n-5) / 2 \geqq f(n),
$$

moreover, equality can hold only if $Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}=\emptyset$, and $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ induces subgraph of pairwise disjoint edges. Then there are two adjacent vertices (both in $Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ ) of degree two and we are back to case A) again.
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