Ingo Bandlow On weak κ -metric

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Topology. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1984. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 6. pp. [13]--18.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701852

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON WEAK &-METRIK

Ingo Bandlow

Introduction and basic notation.

A x-metrik on a topological space X is a function g which assigns to each $x \in X$ and each regular closed subset C of X a nonnegative number g(x,C) and satisfies some naturally conditions (Scepin [3]):

- (S1) $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{C}) = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}$
- (S2) $C_1 \in C_2 \implies \rho(\mathbf{x}, C_1) \ge \rho(\mathbf{x}, C_2)$
- (S3) $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{C})$ is a continuous mapping for fixed C.
- (S4) If $\{C_{\alpha}\}$ is a transfinite increasing sequence of regular: closed sets, then $\rho(\mathbf{x}, [\cup C_{\alpha}]) = \inf \rho(\mathbf{x}, C_{\alpha})$.

For a space X we denote by g(X) the set of open subsets of X. <u>Definition</u>. A weak χ -metrik on X is a function, defined on the set $X \times \tau(X)$, assuming non-negative real values and satisfying the following axioms:

- (A1) $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{W}]$
- (A2) $W_1 \subseteq W_2 \implies \rho(\mathbf{x}, W_1) \ge \rho(\mathbf{x}, W_2)$
- (A3) $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W})$ is a continuous mapping for fixed W.
- (A4) If $\{W_{\alpha}\}$ is a transfinite increasing sequence of open sets, then $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \bigcup W_{\alpha}) = \inf \rho(\mathbf{x}, W_{\alpha})$.

For weak χ -metrics all of the main results of Scepin about -metrics are also valid. The proofs of the following theorems and also some others are completely analog to those of the corresponding results of Scepin stated for the case of χ -metrics.

<u>Theorem</u>. A product space of weak \varkappa -metric spaces is weakly \varkappa -metrizable.

<u>Theorem</u>. Let X be a weak \varkappa -metric compact space. Then every mapping from X onto any compact space Y can be represented as a composition of two mapping g and h, f=g h, where h is an open mapping from X onto any compact space Z and wZ=wY. In this paper we prove two main results. <u>Theorem</u> 1. Let X be a weak \varkappa -metric space, f:X ---> Y a

INGO BANDLOW

continuous mapping, $X' \leq X$ such that $f|_{X'}$ is open and perfect and f(X')=Y. Then Y is weakly \varkappa -metrizable.

It is an open problem by Scepin [4] to show this fact for - metrics.

<u>Theorem</u> 2. Every limit space of a transfinite inverse system with weak \varkappa -metric spaces and continuous open perfect and surjective projection mappings is weakly \varkappa -metrizable.

To prove this theorem we construct a weak \varkappa -metric on the limit space. The assertion of Theorem 2 for \varkappa -metrics and compact spaces is already proved by Scepin [5]. But his proof does not contain such a construction and is very difficult. Our proof of Theorem 2 and also the proofs of other facts show that as a rule it is easier to work with weak \varkappa -metrics than with \varkappa -metrics. Therefore we introduced the new concept of weak \varkappa -metrics.

Theorem 2 implies

Corollary. A compact space is weakly \mathcal{H} -metrizable iff it is \mathcal{H} -metrizable.

It would be nice to find a construction which yields a \varkappa metric induced by a weak \varkappa -metric. But we do not know (exceptly for compact spaces) if every weak \varkappa -metric space is \varkappa -metrizable.

We consider an ordinal to be the set of smaller ordinals. All topological spaces in the present paper are assumed to be completely regular and all mappings of topological spaces to be continuous. A transfinite inverse system $(X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{\alpha < \beta < k}$ is said to be continuous if for every limit ordinal j < k the space X_{j} is canonically homeomorphic to the limit space of $(X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{\alpha < \beta < j}$. If $\{A_{t}: t \in T\}$ is a family of subsets of the space X and \overline{J} is a filter on T we set

$$\lim_{J} A_t = \bigcap_{E \in J} \begin{bmatrix} \bigcup A_t \\ t \in E \end{bmatrix}$$

See [1], [2] for unexplained notation.

1. Preparations for the main results. Using transfinite induction one can easily verify Lemma 1. If ρ is a weak &-metric on X and $\{W_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of open subsets of X, then $\rho(x, \bigcup W_{\alpha}) = \inf\{\rho(x, \bigcup W_{\beta}): B \leq A, B \text{ is finite}\}.$ $\alpha \in A$

Lemma 2. Let ρ be a weak \mathscr{H} -metric on X. For any closed subset $F \leq X$ and any point $x \in X$, let $e(x,F) = \rho(x,X\setminus F)$.

14

If $\{F_t: t \in T\}$ is a family of closed subsets of X and $x_t - x$, where J is an ultrafilter on T, then $\lim_{x \to 0} e(x_t, F_t) \le e(x, \lim_{x \to 0} F_t).$ Proof. We set $W_t = X \setminus F_t$ for $t \in T$, $U_E = Int(\bigcap_{t \in E} W_t)$ and $V_E = [\bigcup_{t \in T} F_t]$ for every $E \in J$. Hence, $V_E = X \setminus U_E$, $E \in J$, and $\lim_{J} F_t = X \setminus \bigcup_{E} U_E. \text{ Let a be a real number } < \lim_{J} e(x_t, F_t). \text{ Then } J$ $\mathbb{E}_{o} = \{ t \in \mathbb{T} : e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) > a \} \in \mathcal{J}. \text{ Since } \rho(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{W}_{t}) = e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ for every } e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ for every } e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ for every } e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) = e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ for every } e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ every } e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ for every } e(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{F}_{t}) \text{ fo every } e(\mathbf{x}$ tef, (A2) implies $\rho(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{U}_E) > a$, E \in], E \in E, t \in E. Hence, by (A3), $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{E}}) \geq a$ and in virtue of Lemma 1, $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \bigcup_{\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{J}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{E}}) \geq a$. Consequently, $e(x, \overline{\lim_{l}} F_{t}) \ge a. //$ Lemma 3. Let 3 be a family of closed subsets of X and e: $X \times \overline{Y} \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ be a function satisfying the following conditions: e(x,F) is continuous for fixed $F \in F$. (B1) $x \notin F \implies e(x,F)=0$, where $x \in X$ and $F \in F$. (B2) For every point $x \in X$ and every neighbourhood Ox of x (B3) there exists an $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F \in Ox$, such that e(x,F) > 0. If $\{F_t: t \in T\} \leq F$, $x_t - F$, where J is an ultrafilter (B4) on T and $e(x_t, F_t) > a > 0$ for every $t \in T$, then there exists an $F \in F$, $F \leq \lim_{t \to T} F_t$, such that $e(x,F) \geq a$. Then the formula $\rho(\mathbf{x}, W) = \sup\{e(\mathbf{x}, F): F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } F \cap W = \emptyset\}$ defines a weak &-metric on X. Remark. By Lemma 2 the conditions (B1)-(B4) are satisfied for the function $e(x,F) = \rho(x,W)$, where $W \in \tau(X)$ and ρ is a weak & -metric on X. Proof of Lemma 3. Axioms (A1) and (A2) are clearly satisfied. Now we prove (A3). It is easy to see that $\{x: \rho(x,W) > a\}$ is an open set for every real number a. We show that $\{x: \rho(x, W) \ge a\}$ is a closed set. Let $x_t - \overline{1} > x$, where $\overline{1}$ is an ultrafilter on T and $\rho(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{W}) \geq a$ for every $t \in \mathbf{T}$. Let ε be a positive real number. For every $t \in T$ there exists a set $F_t \in F$ such that $e(x_t, F_t) > a - \epsilon$ and $F_t \cap W=\emptyset$. (B4) implies that there exists an $F \in F$ such that $F \subseteq \overline{\lim_{\lambda}} F_t$ and $e(x, F_{\ell}) \ge a - \ell$. Clearly, $F_{\ell} \cap W = \emptyset$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbb{W}) \geq \mathbf{a}. \\ & \text{Proof of (A4): Let } \{\mathbb{W}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < k} \text{ be a transfinite increasing sequence} \\ & \text{of open subsets of X and set } & = \bigcup_{\alpha < k} \mathbb{W}_{\alpha}, \text{ a=inf } \beta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbb{W}_{\alpha}), \\ & \text{B= } \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbb{W}). \text{ Assume } \mathbf{b} < \mathbf{a}. \text{ Then for every } \boldsymbol{\alpha} < k \text{ there exists an } \\ & \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F} \text{ such that } \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} \cap \mathbb{W}_{\alpha} = \emptyset \text{ and } \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{F}_{\alpha}) > \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}). \text{ Let } \mathbf{J} \text{ be} \\ & \text{an ultrafilter on } \mathbf{k}, \exists \geq \{(\beta, \mathbf{k}): \beta < \mathbf{k}\}. \text{ By (B3) there exists} \\ & \text{an F} \in \mathbb{F} \text{ such that } \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{F}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \text{ and } \mathbf{F} < \lim_{d} \mathbb{F} \text{ . It is easy to} \\ & \text{ see that } \mathbf{F} \cap \mathbb{W} = \emptyset. \text{ Hence, } \beta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbb{W}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}); \text{ a contradiction. } // \\ & \text{ Lemma 4. a) If f: \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Y} \text{ is a perfect mapping, } \{\mathbf{A}_t: t \in \mathbb{T}\} \text{ a family of subsets of X and } \mathbb{J} \text{ a filter on T, then } f(\lim_{d} \mathbf{A}_t) = \\ & =\lim_{d} f\mathbf{A}_t \text{ .} \\ & \text{ b) If f: \mathbb{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Y} \text{ is an open and perfect mapping, } \{\mathbf{B}_t: t \in \mathbb{T}\} \text{ a family of subsets of Y and } \mathbb{J} \text{ a filter on T, then } \\ & f^{-1}(\lim_{d} \mathbb{B}_t) = \lim_{d} f^{-1}\mathbb{B}_t. \\ & \text{ The easy proof is omitted.} \end{split}$$

2. Main results.

<u>Theorem</u> 1. Let ρ be a weak \mathscr{K} -metric on X, f:X-Y, X' \leq X such that $f|_X$, is open and perfect and f(X')=Y. Then $\rho'(y,W)=\sup\{\rho(x,f^{-1}W): x \in f^{-1}y \cap X'\}, y \in Y \text{ and } W \in \tau(Y),$ defines a weak \mathscr{K} -metric on Y.

Proof. It is easy to check (A1) and (A2) for g'. Now we prove (A3). Let $y \in Y$, $W \in z(Y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $x_0 \in f^{-1}y \cap X'$ there exists a neighbourhood Ox such that $|g(x, f^{-1}U) - g(x_0, f^{-1}U)| < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in Ox_0$. Since $f^{-1}y \cap X'$ is compact, there exist points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in f^{-1}y \cap X'$ such that $f^{-1}y \cap X' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n Ox_i$. $O = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(Ox_i \cap X')$ is a neighbourhood of y_0 . Let O' be a neighbourhood of y such that $O' \leq O$ and $f^{-1}O' \cap X' \leq \bigcup_{i=1}^n Ox_i$. It is easy to verivy that for every $y \in O'$ we have $|g'(y, W) - g'(y_0, W)| < \varepsilon$.

Proof of (A4): Let $\{W_{\alpha'}\}_{\alpha' < k}$ be a transfinite increasing sequence of open subsets of Y and let a be a real number $< \inf \rho'(y, W_{\alpha'})$. For each $\alpha < k$ let us define

 $F_{\alpha} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \cap \mathbf{X}^{t} : \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathbb{W}_{\alpha}) \ge \mathbf{a}$ For each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{F}_{\alpha}$ is a nonempty compact set. Since $\{ \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} \}_{\alpha < k}$ is a decreasing sequence, we conclude: $\bigcap \mathbb{F}_{\propto} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, there exists a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \cap X'$ such that $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}^{-1} \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}) \ge a$ for each $\alpha < k$. Consequently, $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\cup \mathbf{W}_{\alpha})) \ge a$ and $g'(\mathbf{y}, \cup \mathbf{W}_{\alpha}) \ge a$. //

Let $S=(X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}^{\beta})_{\alpha < \beta < k}$ be a transfinite inverse continuous system with open perfect and surjective projection mappings. Let A be an arbitrary subset of <u>lim</u> S. Scepin [4] introduced the following notation

$$\begin{split} & d(A) = \{ \ \boldsymbol{\propto} < k \ : \ p_{\alpha \ +1} A \ddagger (p_{\alpha}^{\alpha \ +1})^{-1} p_{\alpha} A \quad . \\ & \text{One can easily verify} \\ & \underline{\text{Lemma 5. }} d([A]) \leq d(A). \\ & \underline{\text{Lemma 6}} \ (\text{Scepin [4]}). \quad \text{Let } \{ A_t : t \in T \} \text{ be a family of subsets} \\ & \text{of } \underline{\text{lim S. If }} \text{ is an ultrafilter on T, then} \\ & d(\widehat{\text{lim }} A_t) \leq \{ \alpha : \ \{ \ t : \ \alpha \in d(A_t) \} \in J \} \quad . \end{split}$$

If there exists a natural number n_0 such that $|d(A_t)| \le n_0$ for every t, then $|d(\overline{\lim A_t})| \le n_0$.

Proof. Assume that $E = \{t: \alpha \notin d(A_t)\} \in J$, $\alpha < k$. Then $P_{\alpha+1}A_t = (p_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1})^{-1}p_{\alpha}A_t$ for every $t \in E$. In account of Lemma 4 we have $(p_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1})^{-1}p_{\alpha}(\lim_{J} A_t) = (p_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1})^{-1}(\lim_{J} p_{\alpha}A_t) = \lim_{J} (p_{\alpha}^{\alpha+1})^{-1}p_{\alpha}A_t =$ $= \lim_{J} p_{\alpha} + 1A_t = p_{\alpha+1} \lim_{J} A_t$, i.e. $\alpha \notin d(\lim_{J} A_t)$. // <u>J Lemma</u> 7 (Scepin [3]). The family of open subsets W of <u>lim</u> S

such that d(W) is finite is a base of lim S .

<u>Theorem</u> 2. Let $S=(X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}^{\sharp})_{\alpha < \beta < k}$ be a transfinite inverse continuous system with open perfect and surjective projection mappings. If all X_{α} 's are weak \varkappa -metric spaces, then the limit space of S is also weakly \varkappa -metrizable.

Proof. Let g_{α} be a weak \mathscr{X} -metric on X_{α} , $g_{\alpha} \leq 1$, $\alpha < k$. For $\alpha < k$ define $e_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{F}) = g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X} \setminus \mathbf{W})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$, F closed subset of X. Then e is a function, satisfying conditions (B1)-(B4). We denote by \mathfrak{F} the set of all closed subsets F of X=lim S such that $d(\mathbf{F})$ is finite. Define $e: \mathbb{X} \times \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{F})|} \min\{\Theta_{\alpha + 1}(\mathbf{p}_{\alpha + 1}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}_{\alpha + 1} \mathbf{F}): \alpha \in \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{U})\}.$$

We show that e satisfies conditions (B1)-(B4). (B1) is clearly fulfilled. If $x \in F$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then there exists an $\alpha \in d(U)$ such that $p_{\alpha+1}x \notin p_{\alpha+1}F$. Hence, $e_{\alpha+1}(p_{\alpha+1}x, p_{\alpha+1}F)=0$ and $e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{F})=0$, i.e. (B2) is also valid. Proof of (B3): Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ and Ox be a neighbourhood of \mathbf{x} . By Lemma 7, there exists an open set $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{X}$ such that $d(\mathbf{W})$ is finite and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{W}$, $[\mathbf{W}] \subseteq \mathbf{Ox}$. Hence, by Lemma 5, $[\mathbf{W}] \in \mathbf{F}$. We put $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \max d([\mathbf{W}])+1$. Consequently, $\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}[\mathbf{W}] = [\mathbf{W}]$, $\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{X} \setminus [\mathbf{W}]) = \mathbf{X}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \setminus \mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}([\mathbf{W}])$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{W}) \wedge \mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{X} \setminus [\mathbf{W}]) = 0$. Thus, $\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{x}) \in [\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{X} \setminus [\mathbf{W}])$, $\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{p}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}[\mathbf{W}] > 0$, and $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, [\mathbf{W}]) > 0$. Now we prove (B4). Let $\{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}}:\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}\} \in \mathbf{J}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{x}$, where \mathbf{J} is an ultrafilter on \mathbf{T} , and $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}}) > \mathbf{a} > 0$ for each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}$. Hence, $|d(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}})| \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}}$ for every $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}$. Since \mathbf{J} is an ultrafilter, there exists a natural number $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{0}}$ such that $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{0}} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{T}: |d(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}})| = \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{0}}\} \in \mathbf{J}$. By Lemma 6 we have $\mathbf{F} = 1 \text{ im } \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}} \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{E}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}})\} \in \mathbf{J}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{F})$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}$, then $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}+1}(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}+1}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}), \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}+1}\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}}) > \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{0}}$ a. Hence, by Lemma 2, $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}+1}(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}+1}\mathbf{x}, 1 \text{ im } \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}+1}\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{t}}) \geq \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{0}}$ a. By Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \lim_{d} \mathbf{p}_{\alpha+1} \ \mathbf{F}_{t} = \mathbf{p}_{\alpha+1} (\lim_{d} \mathbf{F}_{t}), \ i.e. \ e_{\alpha+1} (\mathbf{p}_{\alpha+1} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_{\alpha+1} \ \mathbf{F}) \geq \mathbf{n}_{0} \ a \ and \\ e(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{F}) \geq \mathbf{a}. \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

1	ARCHANGEL	SKII	A.V.,	PONON	IAREV	V.I.	"Foundati	ons	of	general
	topology	in p	roblems	and	exer	cises"	, Moscow,	197	74.	

- 2 ENGELKING R. "General topology", Warszawa, 1977.
- 3 SCEPIN E.V. "Topology of uncountable inverse systems", Uspechi Mat. Nauk (5), <u>31</u> (1976), 191-226.
- 4 SCEPIN E.V. "On *K*-metrizable spaces", Isv. Akad. Nauk SSSR,
 (2) <u>43</u> (1979), 433-478.
- 5 SCEPIN E.V. "Functors and uncountable products of compact spaces.", Uspechi Mat. Nauk (3) <u>36</u> (1981), 3-62.

INGO BANDLOW ERNST-MORITZ-ARNDT-UNIVERSITÄT GREIFSWALD SEKTION MATHEMATIK FRIEDRICH-LUDWIG-JAHN-STRASSE 15 A DDR-2200 GREIFSWALD