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# ON THE CLARKE'S GENERALIZED JACOBIAN 

M. Fabian and D. Preiss

Let $f: R^{n} \longrightarrow R^{k}$ be a locally Lipschitz function defined on an open ball $B(x, r) \subset R^{n}$ centered at $x$ and of radius $r>0$. According to the Rademacher's theorem [5] there exists a set $E_{0} \subset R^{n}$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that the Gâteaux derivative $D f(y)$ exists whenever $y \in B(x, r) \backslash E_{0}$. Using this fact Clarke [2] introduced the generalized Jacobian $\partial f(x)$ as the closed convex hull of all possible limits $\lim _{i \rightarrow y} \operatorname{Df}\left(y_{i}\right)$, where $y_{i} \in B(x, r) \backslash E_{0}$. Similarly, if $E_{0}$ is replaced by a null set $E \subset R^{n}$ containing $E_{0}$, one can define $\partial_{E} f(x)$. Thus $\partial_{E_{0}} f(x)=\partial f(x)$. For $k=1$ Clarke [I] showed that $\partial_{E^{f}} f(x)=\partial f(x)$ for any null set $E$ containing $E_{0}$ and asked in [2] if the equality remains true for $\mathrm{k}>1$. In what follows we answer this question affirmatively by showing

Theorem.

$$
\partial_{E} f(x)=\partial_{f}(x)
$$

for all $k$ and for all null sets $E$ including $E_{0}$.
Proof. All the spaces $R^{m}$ are considered with the Euclidean norm ll.ll. The symbol 〈.,.〉 denotes the usual inner product. The space of linear mappings from $R^{n}$ to $R^{k}$ as well as its dual will be identified with $R^{n k}$. Since clearly $\partial_{E^{\prime}} f(x) \subset \partial f(x)$, it remains to prove the converse. By contradiction, let us assume that this inclusion is proper. Then there exist a functional $A$ in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{nk}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{R}$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\langle A, L\rangle: \quad L \in \partial_{E^{\prime}} f(x)\right\}<\alpha<\sup \{\langle A, L\rangle: \quad L \in \partial f(x)\} .
$$

The definition of $\partial_{E^{f}}(x)$ yields an $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\langle A, \operatorname{Df}(y)\rangle<\alpha \quad \text { whenever } \quad y \in B(x, \varepsilon) \backslash E .
$$

Indeed, otherwise we could find $y_{i} \in B(x, 1 / i) \backslash E$ with
This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.
$\left\langle A, \operatorname{Df}\left(y_{i}\right)\right\rangle \geqslant \alpha$ and hence there would exist an $L \in \partial_{E} f(x)$ such that $\langle A, L\rangle \geqq \alpha$, which is impossible. Also, according to the definition of $\partial f(x)$, there is $\bar{y}=\left(\bar{y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{n}\right) \in B(x, \varepsilon) \backslash E_{0}$ with

$$
\alpha<\langle A, D f(\bar{y})\rangle
$$

By joining the last two inequalities we get

$$
\langle A, D f(y)\rangle<\alpha<\langle A, D f(\bar{y})\rangle \quad \text { whenever } \quad y \in B(x, \varepsilon) \backslash E .
$$

Denoting $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), i=1, \ldots, k, j=1, \ldots, n$, and $g_{j}=a_{1 j} f_{1}+$ $+\ldots+a_{k j} f_{k}, j=1, \ldots, n$, we can write the above inequality in the form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{j}(y)}{\partial y_{j}}<\alpha<\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{j}^{n}(\bar{y})}{\partial y_{j}} \quad \text { whenever } \quad y \in B(x, \varepsilon) \backslash E .
$$

Let $C(s)$ be the $n$-dimensional cube with apices ( $\left.\bar{y}_{1} \pm s, \ldots, \bar{y}_{n} \pm s\right)$. Whenever $s>0$ is so small that $C(s) C B(x, \varepsilon)$, the above incquality holds almost everywhere in $C(s)$ and, consequently,
(*)

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{C(s)} \frac{\partial g_{j}(y)}{\partial y_{j}} d y_{1} \ldots d y_{n}<(2 s)^{n} \alpha<(2 s)^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{j}(\bar{y})}{\partial y_{j}}
$$

Let us denote

$$
\delta_{j}(s)=\sup \left\{\left|g_{j}(y)-g_{j}(\bar{y})-D g_{j}(\bar{y})(y-\bar{y})\right|: \max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\left|y_{i}-\bar{y}_{i}\right| \leqq s\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad c_{j}(s)=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right):\right. \\
& \left.\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, \bar{y}_{j}, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in C(s)\right\}, j=1, \ldots, n, s \geq 0 . \\
& \text { Using the Fubini theorem, we get }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cdot \int_{C(s)} \frac{\partial g_{j}(y)}{\partial y_{j}} d y_{1} \ldots d y_{n}= \\
=\int_{C_{j}(s)} \sum_{\sigma= \pm 1} \sigma g_{j}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, \bar{y}_{j}+\sigma_{s}, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) x \\
x d y_{1} \ldots d y_{j-1} d y_{j+1} \ldots d y_{n}= \\
= \\
\int_{C_{j}(s)}\left(\sum _ { \sigma = \pm 1 } \sigma \left[g_{j}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, \bar{y}_{j}+\sigma s, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)-g_{j}(\bar{y})-\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left.\left.-D g_{j}(\bar{y})\left(y_{1}-\bar{y}_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}-\bar{y}_{j-1}, \sigma s, y_{j+1}-\bar{y}_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{n}-\bar{y}_{n}\right)\right]+2 s \frac{\partial g_{j}(\bar{y})}{\partial y_{j}}\right) x
$$

$$
x d y_{1} \ldots d y_{j-1} d y_{j+1} \ldots d y_{n} \geqslant-2(2 s)^{n-1} \delta_{j}(s)+2 s \frac{\partial g_{j}(\bar{y})}{\partial y_{j}}(2 s)^{n-1}
$$

Hence (*) implies
$(2 s)^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{j}(\bar{y})}{\partial y_{j}}-(2 s)^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{j}(s) / s<(2 s)^{n} \alpha<(2 s)^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{j}(\bar{y})}{\partial y_{j}}$
if $s>0$ is sufficiently small. Let us note that $\delta_{j}(s) / s \longrightarrow 0$ as $s \downarrow 0$ since Gâteaux and Fréchet differentiability in finitedimensional spaces coincide for Lipschitz functions. Thus, dividing the above inequality by $(2 s)^{n}$ and letting $s$ go to zero, we obtain a wrong inequality. This contradiction finishes the proof.

Remark 1. For $f$ and $x$ as above Pourciau [4] considered a generalized Jacobian which in our notation is equal to $\partial_{E_{1}} f(x)$ with $E_{1}=E_{0} \cup\left\{y \in B(x, r) \backslash E_{0}: y\right.$ is not a Lebesgue point of $\left.D f\right\}$. As $f$ is locally Lipschitz, $E_{1}$ is a null set. Hence by Theorem $\partial_{E_{1}} f(x)=\partial_{f}(x)$.

Remark 2. The reader probably noticed that the above proof is actually based on the Gauss - Green theorem. In fact, this theorem shows a "Denjoy property for derivatives of mappings between $R^{n}$ and $R^{k_{11}}$ suggested by [2, Remark 5].
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