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# DUAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS FOR SOME UNILATERAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS <br> I.Hlaváček, Praha 

A great number of papers of both technical and mathematical character has been devoted to the numerical solution of variational inequalities. For instance, in the book [1] more than 300 titles are quoted.

The boundary value problems with inequalities contain two important classes:
(i) problems with inequalities on the boundary of the domain under consideration,
(ii) problems with inequalities in the domain.

In general, the problems of both classes can be solved approximately by means of finite differences or finite elements. For problems of the class (i), however, where the inequalities are concentrated on the boundary, only the finite element method is applied in case of a general boundary. In the following, we restrict ourselves to the problems of the class (i) for elliptic equations. A survey of some recent results on the dual variational approach applied to several problems of the second order will be presented.

1. An equation of the second order

To point out the main idea, let us consider the following model problem in a bounded polygonal domain $G \subset R^{2}$ :
(1) $-\Delta u=f$ in $G$,
$u=0$ on $\Gamma_{u}$,
$u \geq 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \geq 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 \quad$ on $\Gamma_{a}=\Gamma-\Gamma_{u}$,
where $\Gamma_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\Gamma_{a}$ are parts of the boundary $\Gamma=\partial G, \partial u / \partial \mathrm{n}$ denotes the derivative with respect to the outward normal n . We shall denote $H^{k}(G)=W_{2}^{(k)}(G)$ the Sobolev spaces with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ and $H^{0}(G)=L_{2}(G)$. The semi-norm consisting of all derivatives of the k-th order only, will be denoted by $|.|_{k}$. Assume that the right-hand side of the equation (l) $f \in L_{2}(G)$.
1.1. Primary variational formulation

Let us introduce the functional of the potential energy

$$
L(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{G}|\operatorname{grad} v|^{2} d x-\int_{G} f v d x
$$

and the set of admissible functions

$$
K=\left\{v \in H^{l}(G)|\gamma v|_{\mathbf{u}}=0,\left.\quad \gamma v\right|_{\mathbf{a}} \geq 0\right\}
$$

(where $\gamma v$ denote the traces of the function $v$ ).
The problem (1) corresponds to the following variational (primary) problem:
(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { to find a function } u \in K \text { such that } \\
& L(u) \leq L(v) \forall \nabla \in K \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The problem (2) has a unique solution. It is not difficult to prove that (i) any solution of the problem ( 1 ) satisfies the condition (2) and (ii) any solution of (2) satisfies the equation ( $I_{1}$ ) in the sense of distributions and the boundary conditions ( $l_{3}$ ) in a functional sense, i.e. in the space $H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$.

For the approximations to the primary problem - see e.g. [3],[5] .

### 1.2. Dual variational formulation

We often have problems when the gradient (or cogradient) of the solution $u$ is more interesting than the solution itself. In physical problems grad $u$ represents the vector of fluxes, in elasticity it corresponds to the stress tensor.

Therefore it may be useful to formulate the problem directly in terms of the unknown vector-function of the gradient. To this end let us introduce the set

$$
Q=\left\{q \in\left[L_{2}(G)\right]^{2} \mid \text { div } q \in L_{2}(G)\right\}
$$

For $q \in Q$ we may define the functional (outward flux) $\mathrm{q} . \mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{H}^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ as follows

$$
\langle q \cdot n, w\rangle=\int_{G}(q \cdot g r a d v+v \operatorname{div} q) d x \forall w \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma),
$$

where $v \in H^{\mathcal{l}}(G)$ is an extension of the function $w=\gamma v$.
We write $\left.s\right|_{\Gamma_{a}} \geq 0$ for a functional $s \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ if

$$
\langle s, \forall v\rangle \geq 0 \forall v \in K
$$

Let us introduce the set of admissible functions

$$
U=\left\{q \in Q \mid \operatorname{div} q+f=0 \quad \text { in } G, q \cdot n \mid \Gamma_{a} \geq 0\right\}
$$

the functional of complementary energy

$$
S(q)=\frac{1}{2}\|q\|_{0}^{2}
$$

and the dual variational problem:
to find $q^{0} \in U$ such that
(3) $\quad S\left(q^{0}\right) \leq S(q) \forall q \in U$.

The problem (3) has a unique solution. Moreover, there is a con-
nection between the solution $u$ of the primary problem and the solution $q^{0}$ of the dual problem as follows:
(4)
$q^{0}=\operatorname{grad} u$,
(5)

$$
L(u)+S\left(q^{0}\right)=0 .
$$

The proof of (4) and (5) can be based on the saddle point theory (cf. [5]).

### 1.3. Approximations to the dual problem

Assume that we have a vector $\bar{q} \in Q$ such that $\operatorname{div} \bar{q}+f=0$ in the domain $G$. (We can set e.g. $\bar{q}=\left(\bar{q}_{1}, 0\right)$, where

$$
\left.\bar{q}_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\int_{0}^{x_{1}} f\left(t, x_{2}\right) d t \quad .\right)
$$

Then it is readily seen that $q \in U$ if and only if $p=q-\bar{q} \in U_{0}$, where

$$
U_{0}=\left\{p \in Q|\operatorname{div} p=0, \quad(p \cdot n+\bar{q} \cdot n)|_{\mathrm{a}} \geq 0\right\} .
$$

Thus we are led to the following problem, which is equivalent with the problem (3):
to find $p^{0} \in U_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(p^{0}\right) \leq J(p) \forall p \in U_{O} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J(p)=\frac{1}{2}\|p\|_{0}^{2}+(\bar{q}, p)_{O}
$$

With respect to the definition of the set $U_{0}$, instead of the standard finite element spaces $V_{h}$ we have to employ the spaces of the so called equilibrium (solenoidal) elements, which satisfy the equation div $p=0$ in the domain $G$ at least in the sense of distributions. To this end we apply the spaces $N_{h}$ of piecewise linear triangular elements, which were proposed by Veubeke and Hogge in [6] and studied in the paper [7] . The latter study yields the following approximation property of the equilibrium spaces $N_{h}$ (on any regular family of triangulations):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall p \in\left[H^{2}(G)\right]^{2}, \operatorname{div} p=0, \quad \exists \pi^{h} \in N_{h}:  \tag{7}\\
& \left\|p-\pi^{h}\right\|_{0} \leq C h^{2}|p|_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we shall use the strongly regular family of triangulations $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ (i.e., the minimal angle in $T_{h}$ is bounded from below and the ratio of any two sides in $T_{h}$ is bounded from above, the bounds being independent of the parameter $h$ - the maximal side in the triangulation $T_{h}$ ).

Assume that a function $F \in\left[H^{2}(G)\right]^{2}$ exists, such that

$$
\operatorname{div} F=0 \text { in } \quad G,\left.\quad F \cdot n\right|_{\Gamma_{a}}=-\left.\bar{q} \cdot n\right|_{\Gamma_{a}}
$$

Let us denote $-\bar{q} \cdot n=g$ and construct a function $g_{h} \in L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{a}\right)$ such that its restriction $g_{h} \mid S_{j}$ onto any side $s_{j} \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{a}$ of the triangulation $T_{h}$ coincides with the $L_{2}\left(S_{j}\right)$-projection of $g$ into the subspace of linear polynomials $P_{1}\left(S_{j}\right)$.

Defining

$$
U_{O h}=\left\{p \in N_{h}|p \cdot n|_{\Gamma_{a}} \geq g_{h}\right\}
$$

we say that a vector $p^{h} \in U_{O h}$ is a finite element approximation to the dual problem, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \leq J(\mathrm{p}) \forall \mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{U}_{O h} \quad . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that $U_{O h} \not \subset U_{0}$ unless $g_{h} \geq g$ holds everywhere on $\Gamma_{a}$.)
Theorem 1. Let the boundary $\Gamma$ consist of a finite number of nonintersecting polygons $\partial G_{j}$ and let

$$
\text { meas }\left(\Gamma_{u} \cap \partial G_{j}\right)>0 \forall j .
$$

Assume that

$$
\mathrm{p}^{0} \in\left[\mathrm{H}^{2}(G)\right]^{2}, \quad\left(\mathrm{p}^{0}-F\right) . n \in H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{a} \cap \Gamma_{m}\right)
$$

holds for any side $\Gamma_{m}$ of the polygonal boundary.
Then for any strongly regular family of triangulations it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p-p^{h}\right\|_{0} \leq c\left(p^{0}\right) h^{3 / 2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us define the mapping $\Pi_{T} \in \mathscr{L}\left(\left[H^{\mathcal{l}}(T)\right]^{2} ;\left[P_{1}(T)\right]^{2}\right)$ for all $T \in T_{h}$ by the following conditions: the $L_{2}\left(S_{j}\right)$-projection of the flux $q \cdot n \mid S_{j}$ is equal to the flux $\left(\Pi_{T} q\right) \cdot n \mid S_{j}$ for each side $S_{j}$ of the triangle $T$.

Define also the set

$$
R(G)=\left\{q \mid q \in\left[H^{I}(G)\right]^{2}, \operatorname{div} q=0\right\}
$$

and the mapping $r_{h} \in \mathscr{L}\left(R(G) ; N_{h}\right)$ by the conditions

$$
\left.r_{h} q\right|_{T} ^{L}=\Pi_{T} q \forall T \in T_{h} .
$$

(Then $\pi^{h}=r_{h} p$ can be taken in (7).)
Let us introduce the cones

$$
C=\left\{q \in Q|\operatorname{div} q=0, \quad q \cdot n|_{\mathrm{a}} \geq 0\right\}, \quad C_{h}=C \cap N_{h} .
$$

Lemma 1. Denote $U=p^{0}-F \in C$ and assume that a $W_{h} \in C_{h}$ exists such that $2 U-W_{h} \in C$. Then it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p^{0}-p^{h}\right\|_{0} \leq\left\|U-w_{h}\right\|_{0}+\left\|F-r_{h} F\right\|_{0} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For the proof of (10) one employs the variational inequalities characterizing $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$, respectively.)

Thus it suffices to find a suitable element $W_{h} \in C_{h}$ close to $U$. To this end we (i) construct a one-sided piecewise linear approximation $\psi_{h}$ of the boundary flux U.n and (ii) define $W_{h}=r_{h} U$ in the interior elements $T \in T_{h}$, (iii) correct $r_{h} U$ in the boundary strip to obtain $W_{h} \bullet n=\psi_{h}$ on $\Gamma$.

Let us present the approach in detail:
Lemma 2. If the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold, then there exists a piecewise linear function $\psi_{\mathrm{h}}$ on $\Gamma$ (with the nodes determined by the triangulation $T_{h}$ ) and such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial G_{j}} \psi_{h} d s=\int_{\partial G_{j}}\left(r_{h} U\right) \cdot n d s \forall j,  \tag{11}\\
& 0 \leq \psi_{h} \leq U \cdot n \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{a}, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

$$
\left\|\left(r_{h} U\right) \cdot n-\psi_{h}\right\|_{0, \Gamma} \leq c h^{2} \sum_{m}|U \cdot n|_{2, \Gamma_{a} \cap \Gamma_{m}} \cdot
$$

Proof. Denote U.n $=t, \quad\left(r_{h} U\right)^{\prime} n=t_{h}$ and consider a side $S_{i} \subset$ $C \bar{\Gamma}_{a}$. Let $t_{I}$ be the linear interpolate of $t$ over $S_{i}$. First we construct the function $\psi_{h} \mid s_{i} \equiv \psi_{h}^{i}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { If } t \geq t_{I} \text { on } s_{i}, \text { setting } \psi_{h}^{i}=t_{I} \text { we obtain } \\
\left\|\psi_{h}^{i}-t_{h}\right\|_{0, s_{i}} \leq\left\|t-t_{I}\right\|_{0, s_{i}}+\left\|t-t_{h}\right\|_{0, s_{i}} \leq  \tag{14}\\
\leq\left.\left. C h^{2}\right|_{t}\right|_{2, s_{i}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

$2^{0}$ Let $t<t_{I}$ at some point $P \in \bar{S}_{i}$ such that the tangent to the graph of $t$ at $P$ lies under the graph of $t$ and, if $\psi_{h}^{1}$ corresponds to the tangent, $\psi_{h}^{i} \geq 0$ on $\bar{S}_{i}$. Then the estimate (14) holds. Thus we construct $\psi_{h}$ over $\Gamma_{a}$. On $\partial G_{j}-\Gamma_{a}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{h}=t_{h}+a_{j}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j}$ is a constant such that (11) takes place. The estimate (13) follows from (14) and (15).

Lemma 3. Let a piecewise linear (discontinuous, in general) funddion $\varphi$ on $\Gamma$ be given such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial G_{j}} \varphi d s=0 \forall j . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a vector-function $w^{h} \in N_{h}$ such that $w^{h} \cdot n=\varphi$ on $\Gamma$ and
(17)

$$
\left\|w^{h}\right\|_{0} \leq c h^{-1 / 2}\|\varphi\|_{0, \Gamma} .
$$

Proof. Let $G_{h}$ be the union of all triangles $T \in T_{h}$ such that $T \cap \Gamma \neq \varnothing$ (a boundary strip of $G$ ). We determine $w^{h} \in N_{h}$ by means of properly chosen flux parameters on the sides of $T_{h}$, such that supp $w^{h} \subset G_{h}$. In particular, we choose the flux parameters $\beta$ equal to the corresponding values of $\varphi$ on $\partial G_{j}$ and equal to zero on $\partial G_{h}^{j}-\partial G_{j}$. As the sides connecting $\partial G_{j}^{j}$ and $\partial G_{h}^{j}-\partial G_{j}$ are concerned, we set $\beta=0$ at the vertices of $\partial G_{h}^{j}-\partial G_{j}$ but the parameters at the vertices of $\partial G_{j}$ remain to be determined from the conditions (i) of the vanishing divergence and (ii) of the continuity of the fluxes along the interelementary boundaries. Using (16) the linear system for the remaining parameters can be solved and the soution $\beta$ estimated from above, making use of the strong regularity of the triangulations, as follows

$$
\left|\beta_{i}\right| \leq \mathrm{ch}^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{0, \Gamma}
$$

Then the estimate (17) follows easily.
To finish the proof of Theorem 1, let us set

$$
\varphi=\left(r_{h} U\right) \cdot n-\psi_{h}
$$

where $\psi_{h}$ is the one-sided approximation from Lemma 2, and consider the extension $w^{h}$ of $\varphi$ from Lemma 3. Then the function $W_{h}=$ $=r_{h} U-W^{h}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. The assertion (9) follows from (10), (7), (17) and (13).

## Algorithm for the dual approximation

The problem (8) belongs to quadratic programming. It can be solved by various procedures, e.g. by the method of Uzawa (see [4]) or by a method of feasible directions (cf. [9]).
1.4. A posteriori error estimates and two-sided bounds for the energy

Having approximations of the primary and of the dual problem, we are able to calculate a posteriori error estimates and two-sided bounds for the energy of the solution $u$, as follows.

Theorem 2. Let $u_{h} \in K$ and $\tilde{q}^{h}=\bar{q}+\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}^{h} \in U$. Then it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u-u_{h}\right|_{1}^{2} \leq\left\|\tilde{q}^{h}-\operatorname{grad} u_{h}\right\|_{0}^{2}+2 \int_{\Gamma_{a}} \widetilde{q}^{h} \cdot n u_{h} d s \equiv E, \\
& \left\|\tilde{q}^{h}-\operatorname{grad} u\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq E, \\
& -2 L\left(u_{h}\right) \leq|u|_{I}^{2}=(f, u)_{0} \leq 2 S\left(\tilde{q}^{h}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. One can easily obtain

$$
|v-u|_{I}^{2} \leq 2[L(v)-L(u)] \forall v \in K .
$$

From (5) and (3) it follows that

$$
-L(u)=S\left(q^{0}\right) \leq S(q) \forall q \in U .
$$

Hence for any $v \in K$ and $q \in U, q-\bar{q} \equiv p \in U_{O h}$ we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
|v-u|_{1}^{2} & \leq 2 L(v)+2 S(q)=|v|_{1}^{2}-2(f, v)_{O}+\|q\|_{0}^{2}= \\
& =\|q-q(v)\|_{0}^{2}+2(q, q(v))-2(f, v)_{O},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q(v)=$ grad $v$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(q, q(v))-(f, v)_{0} & \left.=\int_{G}(q \cdot \operatorname{grad} v)+v \operatorname{div} q\right) d x= \\
& =\langle q \cdot n, f v\rangle=\int_{\Gamma_{a}} q \cdot n v d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Some other unilateral problems

Recently, the following boundary value problems have been solved by the dual finite element method with analogous results as above:
(i) equations with an "absolute" term
(18)

$$
-\Delta u+u=P \quad \text { in } G
$$

with the conditions ( $I_{3}$ ) on the whole boundary. Here the standard piecewise linear elements can be applied for both the primary and the dual approximations (see [5]);
(ii) problems with a non-homogeneous obstacle on the boundary, i.e. an equation (18) with the boundary conditions

$$
u \geq g, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \geq 0,(u-g) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma,
$$

where $g$ is a given function (see [10]);
(iii) semi-coercive problems of the type (I), i.e. the equation $\left(I_{1}\right)$ with the conditions $\left(I_{3}\right)$ on the whole boundary. The proof of convergence requires a different approach, because the one-sided approximations of the flux cannot be used (see [12]);
(iv) Signorini's problem in plane elastostatics and contact problems for two elastic bodies (see [14]). The triangular piecewise linear block-elements, which were proposed by Watwood and Hartz in [15] and studied in [16] , [17] , have been used for the dual approximations.
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