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# BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS AT RESONANCE FOR VECTOR SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

## J. Mawhin, Louvain-1a-Neuve

## 1. INTRODUCTION

```
    The aim of this paper is to use a version of the Leray-Schauder
continuation theorem (see section 2) to prove the existence of solu-
t{ons for second order vector ordinary differential equations with
linear boundary conditions. The type of sharp sufficient conditions
given in section 3 are motivated by the recent work of Kazdan and
Warner [4 ], de Figueiredo and Gossez [2 ], and Brézis and Nirenberg
[ 1 ] for semilinear scalar elliptic equations of the form
```

```
Lu = f(x,u),
```

and dealing with resonance problems at the first eigenvalue of $L$. We
consider linear boundary value problems for systems of ordinary non-
linear differential of the form
$x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$
with $f$ verifying the Caratheodory conditions, allowing for $f$ a depen-
dence in $x$ '. Moreover the technique of proof differs from the ones
used in the papers quoted above and could be successfully applied to
some of the problems considered there, as illustrated in [5 ]. In the
special case of. a system
(1.1)
$x^{\prime \prime}+f(t, x)=0$
with boundary conditions

```
x(0) = x(\pi) = 0
```

(1.2) (resp. $x(0)-x(2 \pi)=x^{\prime}(0)-x^{\prime}(2 \pi)=0$
the obtained conditions for existence of a solution are
(1.3) $F(t):=\overline{\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}}|x|^{-2}\left(x \mid f(t, x) \leqslant 1, \int_{0}^{\pi} F(t) \sin ^{2} t d t<\frac{\pi}{2}\right.$
(1.4)
(resp. $\left.F(t) \leqslant 0 \rightarrow \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t) d t<0\right)$
(with (l) the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) so that $F(t)$ can be equal to the first eigenvalue 1 (resp. O) of the associated linear problem

```
            x" + \mux = 0
    x(0)=x(\pi)=0 (resp. x(0)-x(2\pi)= (0'(0)- (0'(2\pi)=0)
```

on any subset of [o, $\pi$ ] (resp. [ $0,2 \pi$ ]) of positive measure such that the second condition in (1.3) (resp. (1.4)) is verified. In the case of a first order vector equation

$$
x^{\prime}+f(t, x)=0
$$

it has been shown in [5] that the first condition in (1.4) could be replaced by the mere existence of $F(t)$ and the second one replaced by

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t) d t \neq 0
$$

It is an open question to know if (1.4) for (1.1) - (1.2) could be generalized by

$$
F(t) \leqslant a, \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F(t) d t<0
$$

for same a $>0$. Let us finally notice that Theorem 2 gives existence conditions distinct of the ones of Hartman's type described for
example in [3 ] , Chapter V.

## 2. A USEFUL VERSION OF THE LERAY-SCHAUDER CONTINUATION THEOREM

Let $X, Z$ be real normed spaces, $L$ : dom $L \subset X \rightarrow Z$ a linear fredholm mapping of index zero and $N: X \rightarrow Z$ a (not necessary linear) mapping which is L-compact on bounded subsets of $X$ (shortly L-completely continuous on $X$ ). See [ 3 ] p. 12-13 for the corresponding definitions. We shall be interested in proving the existence of a solution for the abstract problem
(2.1)
$L_{x}=N x$

Theorem 1. Assume that there exist an open bounded set $\Omega C X$ and a linear $L$-completely continuous mapping $A: X \rightarrow Z$ such that :
(i) $\quad o \in \Omega$
(ii) $\operatorname{ker}(L-A)=\{0\}$
(iii) $L x \neq(1-\lambda) A x+\lambda N x$ for all $x \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \partial \Omega$ and all $\lambda \in] 0,1[$.

Then (2.1) has at least one solution in dom $\operatorname{L\cap } \bar{\Omega}$.

For a proof of this theorem, special cases of which have been used by many authors, see [5 ]. If $L$ is invertible, then a natural choice for $A$ is $A=0$ and one gets the usual Leray-Schauder condition for mappings of the form $I-L^{-1} N$ and $L^{-1} N$ completely continuous. If $L$ is not invertible, it is shown in [5 ]how to use this theorem to give more simple proofs of some results of Cesari and Kannan, De Figueiredo, Brézis and Nirenberg for abstract equations. One could get similarly the results of De Figueiredo and Gossez on elliptic problems.
3. AN EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR VECTOR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH LINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Let $I=[a, b]$ be a compact internal of $\mathbb{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} f: I \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a mapping satisfying the Caratheodory conditions.

Let (1.1) denote the Euclidian norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and (uv) the inner product of $u$ and $v$.

Let now

$$
\Lambda: C^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

be a linear continuous mapping such that
(A) For each $x \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$, ( $\left.x^{\prime}(b) \mid x(b)\right)-\left(x^{\prime}(a) \mid x(a)\right)=0$
(B) The problem
$x^{\prime \prime}+\mu x=0, \Lambda(x)=0$
has a nontrivial solution if and only if $\mu=\mu_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ with

$$
0 \leqslant \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots
$$

of finite multiplicity and such that the corresponding eigenfunction form a complete orthogonal set in $L^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the inner product

$$
\langle y, z\rangle=\int_{I}(y(t) \mid z(t)) d t
$$

(C) There exists $\gamma>0$ such that for each $x \in k e r \Lambda$ such that

$$
\langle x, u\rangle=0
$$

for all u belonging to the eigenspace relative to $\mu_{1}$, one has

$$
|x|_{\infty} \leqslant \gamma\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |x|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[a, b]}|x(t)|, x^{\prime}=d x / d t \text { and } \\
& |y \cdot|_{2}=\left(\int_{I}|y(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    Important special cases of mappings \Lambda satisfying (A) - (B) -
(C) are given by
\Lambdax = (x(a), x(b)) (Dirichlet or Picard boundary conditions)
Lx ( 
\Lambdax = (x(a) - x(b) , x'(a) - x'(b))(periodic boundary conditions)
\Lambdax = (x(a), x'(b)),...
```

We shall be interested in proving the existence of solutions
for the boundary value problem
(3.1)
$x^{\prime \prime}+f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$
(3.2)
$\Lambda(x)=0$,
i.e. the existence of mapping $x: I \rightarrow R^{n}$ having an absolutely conti-
nuous first derivative and verifying (3.1) a.e. in $I$ and (3.2).
By taking $X=C^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the norm
$\|\times\|_{\infty}=\max \left(|x|_{\infty},|x \cdot|_{\infty}\right)$,
$Z=L^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the norm $|x|_{1}=\int \nmid x(t) \mid$ dt, dom $L=\left\{x \in X: x^{\prime}\right.$ is
absolutely continuous on $I$ and $\Lambda(x)=0\}, L: d o m L \subset X \rightarrow Z, x \rightarrow-x^{\prime \prime}$,
$N: X \rightarrow Z, x \rightarrow f\left(\ldots, x, x^{\prime}\right), i t$ is routine to check that $L$ is fredholm
of index zero and closed and that. $N$ is L-completely continuous. The
problem is then equivalent to an abstract one of type (2.1) and we
shall prove the following existence theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $f$ be like above and let $\Lambda$ satisfy conditions (A), (B)
and (C). Assume that the following conditions hold :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t):=\overline{\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}}\left(\sup _{u \in \mathbb{R}_{n}} \frac{(x \mid f(t, x, u))}{|x|^{2}}\right) \leqslant \mu_{1} \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $t \in I$, i.e. for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}>0$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that for a.e. $t \in I$, all $\times$ with $|\times| \geqslant \rho_{\varepsilon}$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, one has

$$
(x \mid f(t, x, u)) \leqslant\left(\mu_{1}+\varepsilon\right)|x|^{2}+\delta_{\varepsilon}|x|
$$

$(E)$ For each $\varphi$ belonging to the eigenspace relative to $\mu_{1}$, one has

$$
\int_{I} F(t)|\varphi(t)|^{2} d t<\mu_{1} \int_{I}|\varphi(t)|^{2} d t
$$

(F) For each $R>0$ there exists $\varphi_{R} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)$ nondecreasing with $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{-2} \varphi_{R}(s)=0$ and such that for a.e. $t \in I$ and all $\times$ with $|\times| \leqslant R$, one has

$$
\mid f(t, x, u) \leqslant \varphi_{R}(|u|)
$$

## Then problem (3.1-3.2) has at least one solution.

## Proof

We shall apply Theorem 1 with $A x=a x$ and
$a<\mu_{1}$
which clearly satisfies the L-complete continuity requirement and condition (ii). The inequality in (iii) becomes here
(3.4)
$x^{\prime \prime}+(1-\lambda) a x+\lambda f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$
and we shall first show that there exists $R>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in] 0,1[$ and any $x$ verifying $\Lambda(x)=0$ and

$$
|x|_{\infty} \geqslant \mathrm{R}
$$

(3.4) is satisfied. If it is not the case, there will exist a sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ with $\left.\lambda_{n} \in\right] 0,1\left[(n \in \mathbb{N})\right.$ and a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ of elements of dom $L$ such that

$$
\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty} \geqslant n
$$

and
(3.5) $\quad x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) a x_{n}+\lambda_{n} f\left(\left(t, x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0\right.$

Using the assumption (A) (3.5) implies
(3.6) $\left|x^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) a\left|x_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left\langle x_{n}, f\left(\ldots x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.

Let now $\varepsilon>0$; Caratheodory conditions on $f$ and assumption (D) insure the existence of $\delta_{\varepsilon} \in L^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that for a.e. $t \in I$

$$
\left(\left.x_{n}(t)\left|f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \leqslant\left(\mu_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right| x_{n}(t)\right|^{2}+\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}(t)\left|x_{n}(t)\right|\right.
$$

which together with (3.3) and (3.6) gives
(3.7) $\quad\left|x_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} \leqslant\left(\mu_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\left|x_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}\right|_{1}\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty}$

Now let us write
(3.8)

$$
x_{n}=u_{n}+v_{n}
$$

where $u_{n}\left(r e s p . v_{n}\right)$ belongs (resp. is orthogonal) to the eigenspace associated to $\mu_{1}$. Then

$$
\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1}\left|x_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=\left|v_{n}^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1}\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}
$$

and we have the Wirtinger's inequality (which follows from assumption (B) )

$$
\mu_{2}\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} \leqslant\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}
$$

Those results together with (3.7) and assumption (C) imply that

$$
\left|v_{n}\right|_{\infty} \leqslant \gamma \mu_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)^{-1}\left[\varepsilon(b-a)^{2}\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty}^{2}+\left|\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}\right|_{1}\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty}\right]
$$

and hence that
(3.9)

$$
\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty}^{-1}\left|v_{n}\right|_{\infty} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text { if } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \text {. }
$$

Let now

$$
y_{n}=x_{n} /\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

Using (3.9), the finite dimension of the eigenspace associated to $\mu_{1}$ and going to subsequences, one can assume that (for the norm $|.|_{\infty}$ ),

$$
y_{n} \rightarrow y, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{0}
$$

with $y$ in the eigenspace associated to $\mu_{1},|y|_{\infty}=1$ and $\lambda_{0} \in[0,1]$.

From (3.6) and Wirtinger's inequality we then obtain, if $X_{n}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n}=\{t \in I: & \left.\left|x_{n}(t)\right| \neq 0\right\}=\left\{t \in I:\left|y_{n}(t)\right| \neq 0\right\}, \\
\lambda_{n} \int_{I} x_{n}(t)\left|y_{n}(t)\right|^{2} & \frac{\left(x_{n}(t) \mid f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right.}{\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{2}} d t \\
& \geqslant\left[\mu_{1}-\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) a\right]\left|y_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by assumption (D) and by Fatou's lemma,
$\lambda_{0} \int_{I_{n \rightarrow \infty}}\left[x_{n}(t)\left|y_{n}(t)\right|^{2} \frac{\left(x_{n}(t) \mid f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right.}{\left|x_{n}(t)\right|^{2}}\right] d t+\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) a|y|_{2}^{2}$ (3.10)

$$
\geqslant \quad \mu_{1}|y|_{2}^{2}
$$

But, on $I_{n},\left|x_{n}(t)\right|=\left|y_{n}(t)\right|\left|x_{n}\right|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty \quad$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$ and, as $y_{n} \rightarrow y$, $X_{n} \rightarrow 1$ ae. in $I$, which implies together with (3.10) and assumptron ( $D$ ) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{0} \int_{I} F(t)|y(t)|^{2} d t+\left(1-\lambda_{0}\right) a \int_{I}|y(t)|^{2} d t \\
\geqslant \mu_{1} \int_{I}|y(t)|^{2} d t,
\end{gathered}
$$

a contradiction with assumption (E). Thus, if $x \in d o m L$ satisfies
$x^{\prime \prime}+(1-\lambda) a x+\lambda f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$
for some $\lambda \in] 0,1[$, one has

$$
|x|_{\infty}<R
$$

and hence, for abe. t $\in I$, using assumption ( $F$ ),

$$
\left|x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqslant|a| R+\varphi_{R}\left(\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)=\tilde{\varphi}_{R}\left(\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)
$$

with $\tilde{\varphi}_{R} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)$ nondecreasing and $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{-2} \tilde{\varphi}_{R}(s)=0$.

By a result of Schmitt (see e.g. [ 3 ] p. 69) this implies the existence of $S=S(R)>0$ such that any solution $x \in \operatorname{dom} L$ of (3.11) verifies

$$
\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}<S .
$$

Therefore, if

$$
\Omega=\left\{x \in C^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right):|x|_{\infty}<R,\left|x^{1}\right|_{\infty}<S\right\}
$$

conditions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied and the proof is complete.
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