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# COMPARISON OF ALGORITHMS FOR CALCULATION OF THE GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR OF SEVERAL POLYNOMIALS 
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#### Abstract

The computation of the greatest common divisor (GCD) has many applications in several disciplines including computer graphics, image deblurring problem or computing multiple roots of inexact polynomials. In this paper, Sylvester and Bézout matrices are considered for this purpose. The computation is divided into three stages. A rank revealing method is shortly mentioned in the first one and then the algorithms for calculation of an approximation of GCD are formulated. In the final stage the coefficients are improved using Gauss-Newton method. Numerical results show the efficiency of proposed last two stages.


## 1. Introduction

Sylvester matrices (see $[1,3,5,6,10,11,14,15,16,17]$ ) or Bézout matrices (see $[3,7,8,12]$ ) can be used for the calculation of GCD. We start with Sylvester matrix. The coefficients of GCD of two polynomials $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ can be obtained from a Sylvester subresultant $S_{k}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ which is formed from the Sylvester matrix $S\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ by deleting the last $k-1$ rows, the last $k-1$ columns of the coefficients of $f_{1}$ and the last $k-1$ columns of the coefficients of $f_{2}$. If $n_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$, $n_{1} \geq n_{2}$, and if for a positive integer $d \leq n_{2}$ the subresultant $S_{d}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is the first rank deficient matrix in the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n_{2}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), S_{n_{2}-1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), \ldots, S_{1}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $d=\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right)$. There are two well-known procedures for calculation of the rank (or rank deficiency) of a matrix. For small dimension the usage of SVD is sufficient (see for example [2] or [9]). The numerical rank revealing algorithm with many robust examples is in detail described in the papers [11, 17]. The whole process is in details, together with the calculation of GCD and rank determination, explained
in the papers [17], [11], [14] and therefore, in the following, we are considering the calculation for $m$ polynomials.

We now consider $m$ real polynomials $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots f_{m}$. Let $n_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)$. Denote $g=\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$. It is assumed that $d=\operatorname{deg}(g)>0$. The objective is to find polynomials $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}$ of degrees $n_{1}-d, n_{2}-d, \ldots, n_{m}-d$ respectively, such that $f_{i}=w_{i} g$ for all considered $i$, which can be expressed in the form (see [4, 16, 17])

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}\left(w_{i}\right) \vec{g}=\vec{f}_{i}, \quad \text { for } \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{d}\left(w_{i}\right)$ is the Cauchy matrix for the polynomial $w_{i}$ with $d+1$ columns, i.e., $C_{d}\left(w_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\left(n_{i}+1\right) \times(d+1)}$. The symbol $\vec{g}$ denotes the vector of coefficients of $g$ and the symbols $\vec{f}_{i}$ and $\vec{w}_{i}$ have an analogous meaning. The system (2) can be rewritten in the form

$$
F(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{b}, \text { where } \quad \mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{g}  \tag{3}\\
\overrightarrow{w_{1}} \\
\overrightarrow{w_{2}} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\overrightarrow{w_{m}}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\overrightarrow{f_{1}} \\
\overrightarrow{f_{2}} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\overrightarrow{f_{m}}
\end{array}\right], \quad F(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(\vec{r})^{T} \vec{g} \\
C_{d}\left(w_{1}\right) \vec{g} \\
C_{d}\left(w_{2}\right) \vec{g} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
C_{d}\left(w_{m}\right) \vec{g}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\vec{r}$ is a scaling vector (see [16]). Let us remark that $\vec{r}, \vec{g} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, and $\vec{w}_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{j}-d+1}$. The system (3) represents $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{j}\right)+m+1$ equations with $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{j}\right)+m+1-(m-1) d$ unknowns and the least square solution (see [9]) is applied. According to the well known theory (see [2]) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|F(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{b}\|^{2}\right]=(J(\mathbf{x}))^{T}[F(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{b}] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian of $F$ and can be easily calculated as a Gateaux derivative of $F$. The problem of location of minimum leads to the solution of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J(\mathbf{x}))^{T}[F(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{b}]=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us mention the result formulated in [16]: for every scaling vector $\vec{r}$ satisfying $\vec{r}^{T} \vec{g} \neq 0$, if $\operatorname{GCD}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)=1$, then the Jacobi matrix has a full column rank and therefore $F(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{b}$. However all these investigations depend on the basic question how to find the rank $d$. This is well known for $m=2$ and it is shortly analysed in Section 2. In the next section the algorithm using Sylvester matrices for $m \geq 3$ is discussed. In Section 3, the calculation of the greatest common divisor of several univariate polynomials through Bézout-like matrices is considered. Both strategies are numerically tested in the last section.

## 2. Calculation of GCD through Sylvester matrices

At the beginning consider the polynomials $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ of degrees $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ respectively, where $n_{1} \geq n_{2}$. According to the previous section we determine an integer $d$ such that $S_{d}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ is the first rank deficient matrix in (1) and denote the right singular vector of the matrix $S_{d}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\left[C_{n_{2}-d}\left(f_{1}\right), C_{n_{1}-d}\left(f_{2}\right)\right]$ corresponding to the smallest singular value $\sigma_{\min }\left(S_{d}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right)$, which is theoretically equal to zero, by $\left[\left(\vec{w}_{2}\right)^{T},-\left(\vec{w}_{1}\right)^{T}\right]^{T}$. We have denoted $g=\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$. The coefficients of $\vec{g}$ are calculated as the least square solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}\left(w_{2}\right) \vec{g}=\overrightarrow{f_{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad C_{d}\left(w_{1}\right) \vec{g}=\overrightarrow{f_{1}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of these equations (usually the first one) is solved and the second one is used for improvement of the result if it is necessary.

However, for three or more polynomials it is impossible to apply an analogous technique for finding the degree of $\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$. A consecutive process is usually applied, which can be formally written for three polynomials in the form

$$
d=\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{3}, \operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Numerically, the determination of GCD is usually based on some minimisation method which is formally written by (4), (5) and the realization means an infinite iterative process where only finite number of iterations is implemented. Moreover, if the calculation is performed in floating point environment the result is inexact and therefore an approximation is obtained as a result of the above mentioned minimization process. This approximation to GCD will be in this paper entitled approximate greatest common divisor - AGCD. This concept is studied and discussed in many papers (see for example $[6,13,16]$ ). The concept AGCD is mentioned in context with STLN algorithm (see $[10,15,13,6]$ ). In this paper AGCD is the result of the least square procedures which is realized by the Gauss-Newton method. Exact coefficients are assumed. Let us consider the system (5). By analogy to [16] and [17] we now present the algorithm for several polynomials. The numerical process will be evident from the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2.1 ( $A G C D$ for $m$ polynomials.)
Input: Real polynomials $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}$ of degrees $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}$ respectively, vector $\mathbf{b}$ defined by (3) and a given tolerance $\theta$. It is assumed that

$$
n_{1} \geq n_{2} \geq \cdots \geq n_{m}
$$

Output: Polynomial $g=\operatorname{AGCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ begin

$$
g:=f_{n_{m}}
$$

for $j=m, m-1, \ldots, 2$ do
Calculate $g=\operatorname{AGCD}\left(g, f_{n_{j-1}}\right)$.
end
for $j=1, m$ do
$w_{j}(x):=f_{j}(x) / g(x)$
end
Put $d:=\operatorname{deg}(g)$;
form the vector $(\mathbf{x})^{T}=\left[(\vec{g})^{T},\left(\overrightarrow{w_{1}}\right)^{T},\left(\overrightarrow{w_{1}}\right)^{T}, \ldots,\left(\overrightarrow{w_{m}}\right)^{T}\right]^{T}$ for the initial
approximation of Gauss-Newton iteration.
repeat

$$
\mathbf{x}^{+}=\mathbf{x}-\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\vec{r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{d}\left(w_{1}\right) & C_{n_{1}-d}(g) & \cdot & \\
\cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
C_{d}\left(w_{m}\right) & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & C_{n_{m}-d}(g)
\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{r}^{T} \vec{g} \\
C_{d}\left(w_{1}\right) \vec{g}-\left(\vec{f}_{1}\right) \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
C_{d}\left(w_{m}\right) \vec{g}-\left(\overrightarrow{f_{m}}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}^{+}
$$

until $\|F(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{b}\|<\theta$
Once $\|F(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{b}\|<\theta$, we extract coefficients of the polynomial $g(x)$
from the vector $\mathbf{x}$.
We now have $g(x)=\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$.
end of algorithm
The matrix is a block matrix, the non-zero blocks are Cauchy matrices. It contains only zero-blocks except for the first column and the diagonal blocks.

## 3. Calculation of GCD using Bézout matrices

We now present a different approach to computing the GCD of several real univariate polynomials using Bézoutian matrices (see [3], [8]). The size of this kind of matrix depends purely on the degree of one of the polynomials. It will be possible to determine the degree of GCD of a whole set of polynomials at once. Moreover, its coefficients will be computed at the same time. Let $p$ and $q$ be two polynomials,

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(x)=a_{0} x^{k}+a_{1} x^{k-1}+\ldots a_{k-1} x+a_{k}, \\
q(x)=b_{0} x^{k}+b_{1} x^{k-1}+\ldots b_{k-1} x+b_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

of degrees at most $k>0$. If $\operatorname{deg}(p)>\operatorname{deg}(q)$ then some of the first coefficients of $q$ equal zero.
The Bézout matrix associated to $p$ and $q$ (see [12]) is

$$
B(p, q)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1,1} & \cdots & c_{1, k} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
c_{k, 1} & \cdots & c_{k, k}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i, j}$ are defined by the relation

$$
\frac{p(x) q(y)-p(y) q(x)}{x-y}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{k} c_{i, j} x^{i-1} y^{j-1} .
$$

In the following, the procedure for computing the AGCD of $m$ polynomials is presented. To achieve this, a set of polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ satisfying

$$
k:=n_{1}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)>\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right), i=2,3, \ldots, m
$$

will be assumed. In contrast with Sylvester matrices, all the Bézout matrices $B\left(f_{1}, f_{i}\right)$, $i=2,3, \ldots, m$ are square and of the same dimension. Therefore the matrix

$$
B_{f_{1}}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
B\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \\
B\left(f_{1}, f_{3}\right) \\
\vdots \\
B\left(f_{1}, f_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

can be constructed. Analogously to computation with Sylvester matrices, the degree of the AGCD equals $k-\operatorname{rank}\left(B_{f_{1}}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\right)$. Its coefficients can be computed by determining the linear combinations of column vectors, as described in the algorithm below (for details see [8]). The numerical realization of GCD will be again called AGCD.

Algorithm 3.1 ( $A G C D$ for $m$ polynomials.)
Input: Real polynomials $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}$ of degrees $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}$ respectively. It is assumed that $k:=n_{1}>\max \left\{n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}\right\}$.
Output: Polynomial $g=\operatorname{AGCD}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$.
begin
Determine the $d=k-\operatorname{rank}\left(B_{f_{1}}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)\right)$.
Let $\mathbf{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_{k}$ be column vectors of $B_{f_{1}}\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)=\left[\mathbf{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_{k}\right]$.
Construct $T_{2}=\left[\mathbf{t}_{k}, \mathbf{t}_{k-1}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_{d+1}\right]$ and $T_{1}=\left[\mathbf{t}_{d}, \mathbf{t}_{d-1}, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_{1}\right]$.
Calculate QR decomposition of $T_{2}$, i.e. $T_{2}=Q R$, where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ is orthogonal and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times(k-d)}$ is an upper triangular matrix.
We set $c:=(R)_{k-d, k-d}^{-1}$ and compute $w_{i}^{d+1}=c\left(Q^{T} T_{1}\right)_{k-d, i}$, for $i=d, \ldots, 1$.
Setting $h_{i}:=w_{d-i+1}^{d+1}, i=1, \ldots, d$ and $h_{0}:=1$,
we finally have $g(x)=h_{0} x^{d}+h_{1} x^{d-1}+\ldots+h_{d-1} x+h_{d}=\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$.
end of algorithm

## 4. Numerical experiment

To compare the two presented algorithms, let us now have the following polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=(x-0.9)^{5}(x-0.8)^{5}(x-0.7)^{5}(x+0.3)^{5}(x+0.5)^{5}(x+0.7)^{5}, \\
& f_{1}=(x-2)^{5}(x-0.9)^{5}(x-0.8)^{5}(x+0.5)^{5}(x+2)^{5}, \\
& f_{2}=(x-3)^{5}(x-0.8)^{5}(x+0.5)^{5}(x+2)^{5} \text { and } \\
& f_{3}=(x-0.8)^{4}(x+0.5)^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen, that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{3}\right)=f_{3}$. Accuracy of these computations is shown in Table 1. The errors made in determining the coefficients are about two orders of magnitude smaller in case of Algorithm 2.1 than in the case of Algorithm 3.1.

| Coefficients | Error in coefficients |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GCD | Algorithm 2.1 | Algorithm 3.1 |
| 1.0000 | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| -1.2000 | $-9.1038 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $1.8050 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| -1.0600 | $-6.8834 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $-7.6916 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 1.3320 | $2.6645 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $-2.6426 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 0.5361 | $1.2212 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $3.3151 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| -0.5328 | $-2.6645 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $1.3358 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| -0.1696 | $-2.0539 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $1.1419 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 0.0768 | $-7.2164 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $-2.3732 \mathrm{e}-13$ |
| 0.0256 | $-3.8164 \mathrm{e}-16$ | $-5.7697 \mathrm{e}-14$ |

Table 1: Comparison of computational error in AGCD coefficients produced by Algorithm 2.1 and Algorithm 3.1.
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