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# THE BOX METHOD AND SOME ERROR ESTIMATION* 

Jaroslav Mlýnek


#### Abstract

This article focuses its attention on practical use of the box method for solving certain type of partial differential equations. The heat conduction problem of the oil transformer under stationary load is described by this equation. The knowledge of the transformer operating temperature is important for ensuring correct functionality and lifespan of transformer. We consider an elliptic partial differential equation of second order with the Newton boundary condition on a rectangular domain. The paper contains description of a numerical solution procedure of the heat problem and an estimation of local discretization error. The box method is often called the finite volume method, too. The solution of practical examples are presented as well.


## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with the stationary heat conduction problem. Our objective is to solve the problem of the relative transformer screening warming with respect to cooling oil of the transformer. The classical formulation of the problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)+c u=f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a rectangular domain $\Omega \subset R^{2}$ with the Newton boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha u+\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{A}}=g . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative with respect to conormal in (2) is defined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n_{A}}=a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1}+a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ denotes the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$. The unknown function $u$ denotes the relative warming of the transformer screening with respect to cooling oil of the transformer, i.e. the difference of temperatures of these two media. We suppose $u \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, functions $a_{11}, a_{22}, c, f \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\alpha, g \in C(\partial \Omega),, \alpha(s) \geq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. The coefficients $a_{11}$ and $a_{22}$ describe the heat conduction character of the screening in the $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2}$-axis directions, respectively.

We will describe a numerical solution procedure of the heat conduction problem model by the box method, local error estimation of the error of this method and practical examples in the following paragraphs.
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## 2. Use of the box method

We construct the triangulation $\tau$ on the closure of rectangle $\Omega\left(X_{1} \leq x_{1} \leq\right.$ $\left.X_{2}, Y_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq Y_{2}\right)$ in a similar way as if we used the finite element method. We construct a regular rectangular mesh with increments $h_{1}=\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right) / p$ and $h_{2}=$ $\left(Y_{2}-Y_{1}\right) / q$ in the $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2}$-axis direction, respectively, where $p$ and $q$ denote the number of segments, to which the region is divided in the $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2}$-axis direction, respectively. A general node has coordinates $V_{r s}=\left[X_{1}+r h_{1}, Y_{1}+s h_{2}\right]$, where $r \in\{0,1, \ldots, p\}, s \in\{0,1, \ldots, q\}$. The rectangles with vertices defined at points of mesh create elements of the triangulation $\tau$. We construct a special case of mesh dual to the mesh $\tau$ published in [4, p. 215]. Points $T_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, are midpoints of abscissas defined by the mesh point $V_{r s}$ and adjacent mesh points. Then points $S_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, are intersection points of axes of the abscissas mentioned. The rectangle corresponds to node $V_{r s}$ and is given by vertices $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ thus creating element $b_{r s}$ of the mesh dual to $\tau$ (see Fig. 1). If the node $V_{r s}$ lies on the


Fig. 1: Element $b_{r s}$ of the dual mesh corresponding to node $V_{r s}$.
boundary of $\Omega$, the element $b_{r s}$ is modified in the corresponding way. In particular, the case when the node $V_{r s}$ lies at "corner" of $\Omega$ is in Fig. 2. The elements $b_{r s}$ are characterized by two conditions: $\bar{\Omega}=\bigcup b_{r s}$, where $0 \leq r \leq p, 0 \leq s \leq q$, and int $b_{r s} \cap$ int $b_{k l}=\emptyset$ for $V_{r s} \neq V_{k l}$.


Fig. 2: Element $b_{p q}$ of the dual mesh corresponding to "corner" node $V_{p q}$.
We can transfer the term $c u$ to the right hand side of the equation (1) and integrate the left and right hand sides over the element $b_{r s}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b_{r s}}\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x=\int_{b_{r s}}(f-c u) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now Green's formula on the left hand side of the relation (4), we find that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{b_{r s}}\left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x=-\int_{b_{r s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) \mathrm{d} x- \\
-\int_{b_{r s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x=-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1} \mathrm{~d} s-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2} \mathrm{~d} s .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the relation (4) can be modified to read

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1} \mathrm{~d} s-\int_{\partial b_{r s}} a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{b_{r s}}(f-c u) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left hand side of the equation (5) describes the quantity of heat supplied from or delivered to the boundary of the element $b_{r s}$, the right hand side expresses the waste heat arising in the element $b_{r s}$. In case of the boundary mesh point $V_{r s}$, the equation of type (5) is modified. Using equations of type (5) at all mesh points $V_{r s}$ and applying suitable approximations of derivatives and integrals, we obtain a system of linear algebraic equations with a band matrix. The solution of this system gives us the approximation of warming at nodes $V_{r s}$ of the mesh. Now we will concentrate on the approximation of equations of type (5) and the local approximation error at node $V_{r s}$.

Because the element $b_{r s}$ is a rectangle, in case of internal element we can use the approximation (see Fig. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11}\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u\left(T_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1} \approx a_{11}\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{u\left(V_{r+1 s}\right)-u\left(V_{r s}\right)}{h_{1}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to the supposed smoothness of function $u$, we reach the $O\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)$-order error in the approximation (6). Similar approximations can be carried out for points $T_{2}, T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$ in Fig. 1.

We focus now on the boundary element, for example element $b_{r q}$, where $1 \leq r \leq$ $p-1$. Using relations (2) and (3), we obtain the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{22}\left(V_{r q}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\left(V_{r q}\right) n_{2}=g\left(V_{r q}\right)-\alpha\left(V_{r q}\right) u\left(V_{r q}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of the boundary "corner" element (see Fig. 2), we can form an approximation of the value $u\left(P_{3}\right)$ (where the auxiliary point $P_{3}$ is midpoint of abscissa $T_{3} V_{p q}$ ) from the values $u\left(V_{p-1 q}\right)$ and $u\left(V_{p q}\right)$ using Lagrange's interpolation polynomial of the first degree. As we suppose $u \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, the error order of approximation is $O\left(h_{1}^{2}\right)$ (see [3, p. 64]) and the value

$$
a_{22}\left(P_{3}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\left(P_{3}\right) n_{2}
$$

can be approximated through the use of the relation (2).
Now we target at the approximation of integrals in equations of type (5). We apply the midpoint rule to the approximation. If function $v \in C_{[a, b]}^{2}$ then the midpoint rule gives

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} v(x) \mathrm{d} x-v\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)(b-a)\right| \leq M(b-a)^{3},
$$

where $M \in \mathbf{R}$ (see, for example, [1, p. 178]). In case of the internal element $b_{r s}$, we use points $T_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, as midpoints for the integration over the boundary of the element $b_{r s}$ on the left hand side of the equation (5). The right hand side of the equation (5) is approximated in the form

$$
\int_{b_{r s}}(f-c u) \mathrm{d} x \approx\left(f\left(V_{r s}\right)-c\left(V_{r s}\right) u\left(V_{r s}\right)\right) h_{1} h_{2} .
$$

In case of the boundary element we use the expressions of type (7) for the approximation of integrals, too. At the boundary "corner" element it is possible to use auxiliary points of type $P_{3}$.

Let us set $h=\max \left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$. Applying the above mentioned procedure, we find that the local approximation error of the equation of type (5) for every element $b_{r s}$ is $O\left(h^{2}\right)$, where $r \in\{0,1, \ldots, p\}, s \in\{0,1, \ldots, q\}$. General questions of the box method error estimation are solved in [2].

## 3. Practical numerical examples

Now we will solve a real-life technical problem of finding the screening warming with respect to cooling oil of the screening (cooling oil flows around the screening) by using the above mentioned box method. Transformer screening is warmed in consequence of existing eddy currents and it is considered in the form of a thinwalled cylinder. The temperature field is supposed to be rotationally symmetric (see Fig. 3). Hence, the warming problem can be solved in the screening cross section on two dimensional untypical closed rectangular domain $\Omega$. Then the equation (1) with the boundary condition (2) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left(a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left(a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)=-q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Newton boundary condition
$a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} n_{1}+a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} n_{2}+\alpha u=\alpha k\left(x_{2}-Y_{1}\right)$
on the rectangle $\Omega$. As mentioned above, the solution $u$ represents the warming of the screening with respect to cooling oil, $a_{11}$ and $a_{22}$ are real values in this case; $q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is the volume density of losses. In the boundary condition (9), the function $\alpha$ means the heat transfer coefficient on the boundary of the domain, a real constant $k$ allows to express the variable temperature of oil in the vicinity of the screening in the $x_{2}$-axis direction. The equation (8) with the boundary condition (9) is suitable to solve as a 2 D problem. The solution $u$ depends on the functions $q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, too. If $q$ depends only on the variable $x_{2}$ and $\alpha$ is constant function on $\partial \Omega$, then this problem can be solved as a 1D problem.


Fig. 3: Crosscut - the position of the screening in the transformer container.

## Example 1

The function $q$ is given by the relation $q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\rho \delta^{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, where $\rho$ is the specific resistance of the screening material, $\delta$ denotes the density of eddy currents. Input parameters: $X_{1}=1.273 \mathrm{~m}, X_{2}=1.280 \mathrm{~m}, Y_{1}=0.000 \mathrm{~m}, Y_{2}=1.200 \mathrm{~m}, a_{11}=3 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}$, $a_{22}=20 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}, \rho=0.143 \times 10^{-6} \Omega \mathrm{~m}, \alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=50 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for $x_{2} \neq Y_{1}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ and
$\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for $x_{2}=Y_{1}=0 \mathrm{~m}, k=0 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m}$, the current density $\delta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is given by means of 45 values between $0.1158 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{Am}^{-2}$ and $0.1993 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Am}^{-2}$, the current density at the nodes is computed by means of linear interpolation.

Table 1 lists approximate values of warming at chosen nodes computed by using the box method. The values of warming $u$ first of all depend on the input values of function $\delta$. The given values $\delta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ are decreasing in the $x_{1}$-direction for the constant value of variable $x_{2}$. Hence, the computed values of warming $u$ are decreasing in the $x_{1}$-axis direction (computed warming $u$ at the nodes with $x_{1}=$ 1.27416 m is slightly higher than at the nodes with $x_{1}=X_{1}=1.273 \mathrm{~m}$ in consequence of cooling oil).

| $x_{2}[\mathrm{~m}]$ | $X_{1}=1.273 \mathrm{~m}$ | $x_{1}=1.27416 \mathrm{~m}$ | $x_{1}=1.27533 \mathrm{~m}$ | $X_{2}=1.280 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Y_{2}=1.200$ | 3.993 | 4.021 | 3.965 | 3.731 |
| 1.104 | 6.333 | 6.399 | 6.267 | 5.807 |
| 1.008 | 7.940 | 8.014 | 7.866 | 7.299 |
| 0.912 | 10.100 | 10.197 | 10.003 | 9.278 |
| 0.816 | 12.311 | 12.429 | 12.193 | 11.311 |
| 0.720 | 12.394 | 12.483 | 12.305 | 11.455 |
| 0.624 | 13.413 | 13.16 | 13.310 | 12.187 |
| 0.528 | 13.445 | 13.545 | 13.345 | 12.418 |
| 0.432 | 13.466 | 13.570 | 13.362 | 12.430 |
| 0.336 | 13.211 | 13.313 | 13.109 | 12.195 |
| 0.240 | 12.957 | 13.054 | 12.860 | 11.967 |
| 0.144 | 12.640 | 12.275 | 12.555 | 11.992 |
| 0.048 | 12.491 | 12.581 | 12.401 | 11.541 |
| $Y_{1}=0.000$ | 12.289 | 12.356 | 12.213 | 11.378 |

Tab. 1: The values of the screening warming in $K$ for selected nodes, $h_{1}=0.0011667 \mathrm{~m}$ and $h_{2}=0.04800 \mathrm{~m}$.

## Example 2

The volume density of losses $q$ depends on $x_{2}$ only. Input parameters: $X_{1}=0.860 \mathrm{~m}$, $X_{2}=0.868 \mathrm{~m}, Y_{1}=0.033 \mathrm{~m}, Y_{2}=1.900 \mathrm{~m}, a_{11}=3 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}, a_{22}=20 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{mK}$, the volume density of losses $q\left(x_{2}\right)$ is given by means of 36 values between $0.4904 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $0.9348 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, values of the function $q$ at the nodes are computed by means of linear interpolation, $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=50 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for $x_{1} \neq X_{2}=0.868 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=15 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$ for $x_{1}=X_{2}=0.868 \mathrm{~m}, k=0 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{m}$.
The volume density of losses $q$ depends only on $x_{2}$-axis in this example. The computed values of warming $u$ first of all depend on the impute values of function $q$. The value of the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is lower for $x_{1}=X_{2}$ than for the other parts of $\partial \Omega$. Hence, the screening is more cooled on the part of $\partial \Omega$ with $x_{1}=X_{1}$ than on the part of $\partial \Omega$ with $x_{1}=X_{2}$. Therefore, computed values of warming $u$ are a little lower near the part of $\partial \Omega$ with $x_{1}=X_{1}$ than near the part of $\partial \Omega$ with $x_{1}=X_{2}$ in the $x_{1}$-axis direction. Table 2 lists approximate values of the warming at chosen nodes computed by using the box method.

| $x_{2}[\mathrm{~m}]$ | $X_{1}=0.860 \mathrm{~m}$ | $x_{1}=0.864 \mathrm{~m}$ | $x_{1}=0.866 \mathrm{~m}$ | $X_{2}=0.868 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $Y_{2}=1.900$ | 71.369 | 74.530 | 74.640 | 74.585 |
| 1.783 | 26.997 | 28.214 | 28.270 | 28.242 |
| 1.666 | 6.753 | 7.056 | 7.068 | 7.062 |
| 1.550 | 2.247 | 2.348 | 2.352 | 2.350 |
| 1.433 | 1.736 | 1.814 | 1.818 | 1.816 |
| 1.316 | 3.105 | 3.244 | 3.250 | 3.247 |
| 1.200 | 3.601 | 3.762 | 3.768 | 3.765 |
| 1.083 | 3.144 | 3.285 | 3.289 | 3.287 |
| 0.966 | 2.855 | 2.983 | 2.989 | 2.986 |
| 0.850 | 2.962 | 3.095 | 3.101 | 3.098 |
| 0.733 | 3.457 | 3.612 | 3.618 | 3.615 |
| 0.616 | 3.449 | 3.602 | 3.608 | 3.605 |
| 0.500 | 1.503 | 1.571 | 1.575 | 1.573 |
| 0.383 | 2.319 | 2.422 | 2.426 | 2.424 |
| 0.266 | 2.449 | 3.604 | 3.612 | 3.608 |
| 0.150 | 17.614 | 18.413 | 18.451 | 18.432 |
| $Y_{1}=0.033$ | 60.035 | 62.690 | 62.782 | 62.736 |

Tab. 2: The values of the screening warming in $K$ for selected nodes, $h_{1}=0.002 \mathrm{~m}$ and $h_{2}=0.029167 \mathrm{~m}$.
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